

Comparative Analysis of Five Statistical Packages' Features and Output

Oluwakemi Sade Ayodele & Alabi Taiye John

Department of Computer Science Kogi State Polytechnic Lokoja, Kogi State, Nigeria **E-mail**: Kemtemmy2009@gmail.com **Phone**: +234806 980 4373

ABSTRACT

In this new era of 'big data', the use of statistical software has become inevitable and choosing the right data analysis software is becoming an important aspect of research in virtually any field of human endeavour. Statistical packages are collections of software designed to aid in statistical analysis and data exploration. The vast majority of quantitative and statistical analysis relies upon statistical packages for its execution. An understanding of statistical packages is very essential. Statistical analysis can be implemented using programming languages like C++, Java, and FORTRAN e.t.c but statistical packages are time, effort and cost saving also providing a common interface for data manipulation, visualization and statistical analysis. However, statistical packages vary greatly with respect to accuracy and reliability and reported results may be dependent on the specific package and version. The aim of this paper therefore is to compare the features and output of regression analysis of five statistical packages: Microsoft Excel (2007), R package, SPSS, GraphPad and Stata. In this paper, regression analysis was used to determine the intercept and coefficients of a relation and the results obtained were tabulated. Therefore, for solution that requires high accuracy with speed, Microsoft excel is highly recommended.

Keywords: Data manipulation, Visualization, Data Exploration, Statistical Analysis

iSTEAMS Proceedings Reference Format

Oluwakemi Sade Ayodele & Alabi Taiye John (2019): Comparative Analysis of Five Statistical Packages' Features and Output. Proceedings of the 19th iSTEAMS Multidisciplinary Conference, The Federal Polytechnic, Offa, Kwara State, Nigeria. 7th – 9th August, 2019. Pp 115-124. <u>www.isteams.net/offa2019</u> - DOI Affix - https://doi.org/ 10.22624/AIMS/iSTEAMS-2019/V19N1P15

1. INTRODUCTION

A wide range of software statistical packages can be used to analyse data. These ranges from Access or Excel to dedicated packages such as SPSS, Stata and R for **statistical** analysis of quantitative data, Nvivo for **qualitative** (textual and audio-visual) data analysis (QDA), or ArcGIS for analysing **geospatial** data. In this paper, emphasis is made on the quantitative data Analysis. The five statistical packages used in this paper are <u>Statistical Packages for</u> <u>Social S</u>ciences (SPSS), **R** Package, GraphPad, Microsoft Excel and Statistics/Data Analysis (Stata).

Cavaliere (2015) observed that we live in "data era" where the use of statistical or data analysis software is inevitable in any research field. This means that the choice of the right software tool or platform is a strategic issue for a research department. Nevertheless, in many cases users of statistical software do not pay the right attention to a comprehensive and appropriate evaluation of what the intended use of the result of the data analysis is. Indeed, the choice still depends on few factors like, for instance, researcher's personal inclination, e.g., which software is already known, which shouldn't be the case.



According to Godsey (2019), it's often helpful if a statistical tool can perform some related methods. Often, you'll find that the method you chose doesn't quite work as well as you'd hoped, and what you learned in the process leads you to believe that a different method might work better. If your software tool doesn't have any alternatives, then you're either stuck with the first choice or you'll have to switch to another tool. This paper therefore is an eye opener to choosing the right statistical packages for regression analysis.

2. METHODOLOGY AND DATA PRESENTATION

In this paper, secondary data are used. The data for analysis is the results of an experiment on the impact of data size, execution time and power on energy consumption of sorting algorithm. (Ayodele & Oluwade, 2019). Below is the table of Quick Sort Algorithm implementation in C programming language used for this work.

| Data Size | Average Execution Time(Sec) | Power (Watt) | Energy (Joule) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 100,000 | 0.0594 | 2.42 | 0.143748 |
| 200,000 | 0.125 | 1.9 | 0.2375 |
| 300,000 | 0.1372 | 3.54 | 0.485688 |
| 400,000 | 0.2652 | 2.56 | 0.678912 |
| 500,000 | 0.3902 | 3.4 | 1.32668 |

Table 3.1: Quick Sort Algorithm Implementation In C

Statistical Packages

The following five Statistical packages are selected for comparison:

Microsoft Excel: This is part of the Microsoft Office suite of programs. Excel version 1.0 was first released in 1985, with the latest version Excel 2016. (Michael Lewis-Beck, 2004)

SPSS: SPSS stands for Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. It was one of the earliest statistical packages with Version 1 being released in 1968, well before the advent of desktop computers. It is now on Version 23.

R Package: This is a collection of R functions, complied code and sample data. They are stored under a directory called "library" in the R environment. By default, R installs a set of packages during installation. More packages are added later, when they are needed for some specific purpose. When we start the R console, only the default packages are available by default. Other packages which are already installed have to be loaded explicitly to be used by the R program that is going to use them. R is a free version of S-plus developed in 1996. Since then the original team has expanded to include dozens of individuals from all over the globe. (<u>https://www.tutorialspoint.com/r/r packages.htm</u>)

GraphPad: This is a commercial scientific 2D graphing and <u>statistics software</u> available for both Windows and Macintosh computers (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/GrapgPad_Software). GraphPad was developed by GraphPad Software, Inc.

Stata: This is a general-purpose statistical software package created in 1985 by StataCorp. Most of its users work in research, especially in the fields of economics, sociology, political science, biomedicine and epidemiology. Stata's capabilities include data management, statistical analysis, graphics, simulations, regression, and custom programming. It also has a system to disseminate user-written programs that lets it grow continuously (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stata).



3. IMPLEMENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Implementation

Based on the conclusion from the experiments conducted in Ayodele & Oluwade (2019), varying the parameters values (Data size, algorithm implementation style and programming language) impacts the energy consumption with different evolution patterns (see table 4.1).

Let E= Energy, x_1 = Data Size, x_2 = Execution Time, x_3 = Power we have the following observations:

Two varying quantities are said to be in a relation of proportionality, if when they are multiplicatively connected to a constant, i.e, when either their ratio or their products yield a constant. The value of the constant is called the coefficient of proportionality. As Data Size increases, the Energy also increases, $E \propto x_i$, i = 1(1)3.

$$\Rightarrow E = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_i x_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$$
(4.1)

To get the coefficients β_0 , β_1 , β_2 and β_3 , the regression analysis implementation using Microsoft Excel, SPSS, GraphPad, R, and Stata Software were used. Therefore, the regression models for predicting the energy consumption (Energy Efficiency) were developed as a function of Data Size, Execution Time and Power using five (5) statistical packages (Microsoft Excel, SPSS, GraphPad, R, and Stata). Predictive Regression Energy Model for Quick Sort Using Data Size, Execution time and Power in Microsoft Excel, SPSS, GraphPad, R, and Stata Software

| SUMMARY | OUTPUT | | REGRESSIO | N ANALYS | SIS OF ITERA | TIVE QUIC | K SORT IN C | | | Nor | malP | roh | abilit | y Plot | |
|------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----|-----|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| Regression | Statistics | | | | | | | | 1.5 | | inari | 100 | | , | |
| Multiple F | | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R Square | 0.99752 | | | | | | | | × 1 | 1 | | | | - | |
| Adjusted F | 0.99008 | | | | | | | | 0.5 | - | | | | - a - a | - |
| Standard I | 0.04683 | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | 2.5 | | Series1 |
| Observati | 5 | | | | | | | | | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | 90 | |
| ANOVA | | | | | | | | | | | Sam | ple Perce | entile | | |
| ALC: NA | df | SS | MS | F | gnificance | F | | | | 5 | | | | | |
| Regressio | 3 | 0.88149 | 0.29383 | 134.01 | 0.0634 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residual | 1 | 0.00219 | 0.00219 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 4 | 0.88368 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | oefficients | andard Ern | t Stat | P-value | Lower 95% | Upper 95% | ower 95.0% | pper 95.0% | | | | | | | |
| Intercept | -0.4891 | 0.09768 | -5.00743 | 0.12548 | -1.73018 | 0.75198 | -1.73018 | 0.75198 | | | | | | | |
| X Variable | -1.4E-06 | 6.6E-07 | -2.10466 | 0.28238 | -9.8E-06 | 7E-06 | -9.8E-06 | 7E-06 | | | | 1 | | | |
| X Variable | 4.54121 | 0.72298 | 6.28125 | 0.10051 | -4.64511 | 13.7275 | -4.64511 | 13.7275 | | | | | | | |
| X Variable | 0.21558 | 0.04784 | 4.50663 | 0.13901 | -0.39223 | 0.82339 | -0.39223 | 0.82339 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RESIDUAL | OUTPUT | | | | PROBABILI | | - | | | | | | | | |
| bservatior | Predicted Y | Residuals | dard Resid | uals | Percentile | Y | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.16248 | -0.01873 | -0.80002 | | 10 | 0.14375 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 0.20841 | 0.02909 | 1.24237 | | 30 | 0.2375 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 0.4775 | 0.00819 | 0.3499 | | 50 | 0.48569 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0.70764 | -0.02872 | -1.22685 | | 70 | 0.67891 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 1.3165 | 0.01018 | 0.4346 | | 90 | 1.32668 | | | | | | | | | |



USING R

| RStudio File Edit Code View Plots Session Build Debug Profile Tools Help | |
|--|---|
| • Addins • • Addins • • Addins • • Iterative merge CR × • Iterative merge java.R × • Iterative merge python.R × • Iterative quick CR × » • Iterative merge CR × • Iterative merge java.R × • Iterative merge python.R × • Iterative quick CR × » • Iterative merge CR × • Iterative merge java.R × • Iterative merge python.R × • Iterative quick CR × » • Iterative merge CR × • Iterative merge java.R × • Iterative merge python.R × • Iterative quick CR × » • Iterati | Environment History Connections Import Dataset • Import Dataset • Import Dataset • Global Environment • D num [1:5] 1e+05 2e+05 death num [1:4] 2 3 4 6 Ds num [1:5] 100 200 300 E num [1:5] 0.144 0.237 Eiqj num [1:5] 0.389 0.718 Et num [1:5] 0.056 0.0656 malaria num [1:5] 2.42 1.9 3.1 T num [1:5] 0.0594 0.121 Files Plots Packages Help Viewer New Folder © Delete Rename More • Mame Image: Anta Image: Anta Image: Anta Image: Anta |
| 6:1 [Top Level] \$ Console ~/ Console ~/ D<-c(100000, 200000, 300000, 400000, 500000) > T<-c(0.0594, 0.125, 0.1372, 0.2652, 0.3902) > P<-c(2.42, 1.9, 3.54, 2.56, 3.4) > E<-c(0.143748, 0.2375, 0.485688, 0.678912, 1.32668) > Im(formula = E ~ D + T + P, data = cars) Call: Im(formula = E ~ D + T + P, data = cars) Coefficients: (Intercept) D T P -4.891e-01 -1.399e-06 4.541e+00 2.156e-01 | Rhistory 10.1.1.169.8799.bt 154 Steps to Revitalize your Sunday School and Keep yc 154 Steps to Revitalize your Sunday School and Keep yc 155 SEMESTER EXAM QUESTIONS 2013.docx 155 semester exam timetable 201420151.xlsx 1st semester exam timetable 20152016.xlsx 1st semester exam timetable 20152016.xlsx 2012 SESSION 2013 welafer.docx 2nd semester exam part tim.docx 2nd semester exam part tim.20132014.docx |



Using GraphPad

| 💝 GraphPad InStat - [DATAS | ET1.ISD] | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 🟈 File Edit Data Steps | Window Help | | | | | |
| | | × 🖉 | E | | | |
| 7/30/2019 11:08 PM | | | | | | |
| 246 246 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 15 | Multiple Re | gression R | esults | | | |
| What equation fits | the data th | a hast? | | | | |
| what equation iits | che data chi | e Dest: | | | | |
| [A:] = -0.4891 - | -1.398E-06* | (B:1 + 4.5 | 41*[C:] | | | |
| + 0.2156*[D: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Variable | Coefficient | SE | 95% Cont | fide | nce Interval | |
| (constant) | -0.4891 | | | | | |
| B: | | | | | .843E-06to 7.045E-0 | 6 |
| C: | 4.541 | | | | | |
| D: | 0.2156 | 0.04784 | -0.3922 | to | 0.8234 | |
| How good is the fi | t? | | | | | |
| R squared = 99.75% | | | | | | |
| This is the percen | | iance in A | : explain | ned 1 | by the model. | |
| into ito one percen | o or one var. | Lanoc In A | · capital | | by one model. | |
| The P value is 0.0 | 634, conside: | red not qu | ite sign: | ific | ant. | |
| The P value answer | | | | | | |
| If there were no | linear rela | tionship a | mong the | var | iables, <mark>w</mark> hat is | |
| the chance that | R squared wor | uld be tha | t <mark>high (</mark> d | or h | igher) by chance? | |
| | | | | | | |
| Since P is high, t | he rest of th | he results | will be | of | little interest. | |
| Sum-of-squares | 0.0021 | 93 | | | | |
| SD of residuals | 0.0468 | 3 | | | | |
| R squared | 0.9975 | | | | | |
| Adjusted R squared | 0.9901 | | | | | |
| Multiple R | 0.9988 | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| Checklist | ? What's next? | Steps: | 1st 🔳 | $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ | E 🖲 🖬 📥 | ₽ |



Using Stata

| Re | view T 4 × | . replace var4 | = 3.54 in 3 | | | | | |
|----|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------|-------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| # | Command _rc | (1 real change | made) | | | | | |
| ŀ | set obs 1 | . replace var4 | = 2.56 in 4 | | | | | |
| 2 | generate var1 = 0.1 | (1 real change | | | | | | |
| 3 | set obs 2 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | replace var1 = 0.23 | . replace var4 (1 real change | | | | | | |
| 5 | set obs 3 | (I rear change | made) | | | | | |
| 5 | replace var1 = 0.48 | . regress var1 | var2 var3 va | r4 | | | | |
| 7 | set obs 4 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | replace var1 = 0.67 | Source | SS | df | MS | | Number of obs F(3, 1) | = 5 = 134.01 |
| 9 | set obs 5 | Model | .881487797 | 3.29 | 3829266 | | Prob > F | = 0.0634 |
| 10 | replace var1 = 1.32 | Residual | .002192593 | 1 .00 | 2192593 | | R-squared | = 0.9975 |
| 1 | generate var2 = 100 | | | | | | Adj R-squared | |
| 12 | replace var2 = 2000 | Total | .88368039 | 4 .22 | 0920098 | | Root MSE | = .04683 |
| 13 | replace var2 = 3000 | ļ | | | | | | ÷ |
| 4 | replace var2 = 4000 | var1 | Coef. | Std. Err. | t | ₽≻ t | [95% Conf. | Interval] |
| 15 | replace var2 = 5000 | | | | | | | |
| 16 | generate var3 = 0.0 | var2 var3 | -1.40e-06 4.541212 | 6.65e-07 | -2.10 | 0.282 | -9.84e-06 -4.645109 | 7.05e-06 13.72753 |
| 17 | replace var3 = 0.12 | var4 | .2155785 | .0478359 | 4.51 | 0.139 | 3922337 | .8233907 |
| 8 | replace var3 = 0.13 | _cons | 4891011 | .097675 | -5.01 | 0.125 | -1.730179 | .7519773 |
| 9 | replace var3 = .265 | | } | | | | | Ű. |
| 20 | replace var3 = .390 | 2 | | | | | | |
| 21 | generate var4 = 2.4 | | | | | | | |
| 22 | replace var4 = 1.9 i | | | | | | | |
| 23 | replace var4 = 3.54 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | replace var4 = 2.56 | | | | | | | |
| | replace var4 = 3.4 i | | | | | | | |
| 25 | regress var1 var2 va | | | | | | | |

Figure 4.4 Regression Analysis of Iterative QuickSort Implementation in C Using Stata

.



Using SPSS

| *Output1 [Document1] - IBM SPSS S File Edit View Data Transfor | olineus | | | alyze Direct | <u>M</u> arketing <u>G</u> r | aphs <u>U</u> tilities / | Add- <u>o</u> ns <u>W</u> | indow <u>H</u> el | p | | w | | | | | | | | • • • <mark>• *</mark> |
|---|---------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|-----|----------|-------|----|---------|----------|------------|---------------|------------------------|
| 🖹 H 🖨 📐 🤌 | | | r a | | | | | PB | | | 4 | • | ŧ | - | B | | - | (| |
| itput Log | | a, All n b. Dep | equested va endent Varia | riables entere able: VAR0001 | id. D1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Regression | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Title | 1 | | | | | Model S | ummary | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | |
| - 📳 Active Dataset | | | | 2 | an one e | | | 1 | Change St | atistics | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Variables Entered/Removed Model Summary | | Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | R Square Change | F Chang | ge df1 | | df2 | Sig. F C | hange | | | | | | |
| ANOVA | ĺ | 1 | .999a | .998 | .990 | .0468251582 | .99 | 8 134.0 | 10 | 3 | 1 | | .063 | | | | | | |
| Coefficients | | a. Prec | dictors: (Con | istant), VAROO | 004, VAR00003 | , VAR00002 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | ANOVA ^b | 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ĺ | Model | | Sum of Squares | | Mean Square | F | Sig. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ľ | 2000 S.M. | Regression | , | 381 3 | .294 | 134.010 | .063 ^a | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | F | Residual | | 002 1 | .002 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Fotal | | 384 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | a. Prec b. Dep | dictors: (Con endent Varia | istant), VAROO able: VAROOOI | 004, VAR00003 01 | , VAR00002 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Coefficien | sa | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ſ | | 6 | Unstandard | ized Coefficient: | Standardized Coefficients | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Model | | B | Std. Error | Beta | t | Sig. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | 1 (| (Constant) | 489 | .09 | 3 | -5.007 | .125 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ١ | /AR00002 | -1.399E-6 | 6 .00 | .471 | -2.105 | .282 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | /AR00003 | 4.541 | 2 322 | 8 R. 1928 | 10000 | .101 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | /AR00004 | .216 | | 3 .317 | 4.507 | .139 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | a. Dep | endent Varia | able: VAR0001 | 01 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dpen output document | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | IBM SPS | S Statie | tics Proce | ssor is ready | |
| | | | 1 | | | | a 🚮 | 1 20 | | | | ()) | | | | | | | 11:16 PM |
| 🗿 🖉 🚺 🚺 | | 9 | 2 🛓 | | XIN |] <mark>0</mark> ⊻ P | 3 월 | V | | | | 0 | | 2ª | | | 1 | 0 🙀 🚺 | 7/31/2019 |



| Package/Coefficient | βο | β ₁ | β2 | β ₃ | ENERGY MODEL |
|---------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|--|
| MICROSOFT EXCEL | -0.4891 | -0.0014e-03 | +4.541213 | +0.215578 | E _{iqc} =-0.4891–0.0014e-03Ds +4.541213Et +0.215578P |
| R PACKAGE | -0.4891 | -1.399e-06 | +4.541 | +0.2156 | E _{iqc} =-0.4891–1.399e-06Ds +4.541Et +0.2156P |
| GRAPHPAD | -0.4891 | -1.398E-06 | +4.541 | +0.2156 | E _{iqc} =-0.4891–1.399e-06Ds +4.541Et +0.2156P |
| SPSS | -0.489 | -1.399E-06 | +4.541 | +0.216 | E _{iqc} =-0.489–1.399e-06Ds +4.541Et +0.216P |
| STATA | -0.4891011 | -1.40E-06 | +4.541212 | +0.2155785 | E _{iqc} =-4891011–1.40e-06Ds +4.541212Et +0.2155785P |

Table 4.1: Comparison of the Output of Regression Analysis of five Statistical Packages.

Table 4.2: Comparison of the characteristics features

| S/N | MICROSOFT EXCEL | R PACKAGE | SPSS | STATA | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | User Friendly | Not user friendly | User friendly | User friendly | User friendly | | | |
| 2 | Cost of software package Cheap | Free | Cost of software package is high | Cost of software package is high | Free trial version. Cost of software package is high | | | |
| 3 | The users' interface is fair and gives a detailed result compared to others | The users' interface is poor compared to others statistical packages under observation | The users' interface is fair and gives a detailed result compared to others | The users' interface is fair and gives a detailed result compared to others | The users' interface is poor compared to others statistical packages under observation | | | |
| 4. | Memory usage for its installation is very low | Memory usage for its installation is very low | Memory usage for its installation is low | Occupy much space compared to others. | Memory usage for its installation is low | | | |
| 5 | Knowledge of Programming not required | Knowledge of Programming is required | .Knowledge of Programming not required. Easy to understand and work with | Knowledge of Programming not required | Knowledge of Programming not required | | | |
| 6 | Good and recommended for all beginners | Not Good and not recommended for the beginners | Good and recommended for the beginners | Not Good and not recommended for the beginners | Not Good and not recommended for the beginners | | | |
| 7 | Result interpretation is easy | Result interpretation is not easy and it requires good expertise knowledge | Result interpretation is easy | Result interpretation is not easy and it requires good expertise knowledge | Result interpretation is not easy and it requires good expertise knowledge | | | |



4. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The output of regression analysis using SPSS is approximated irrespective of the number of decimal places specified during data analysis. The results generated by R package and GraphPad are the same. The result from Microsoft Excel is better than R package and GraphPad and has the advantage of user friendly environment. However, with Stata, the results have an extended approximate values, making its output to be reliable for solutions that requires high accuracy.

5. CONCLUSION

Statistical packages vary greatly with respect to accuracy, speed, reliability and reported results may be dependent on the specific package and version. Therefore one of the factors to be considered while choosing the statistical software to be used for data analysis is the intended use of the result of the regression analysis. However, Stata does the work with high accuracy in good speed and with the fair users' interface.



REFERENCES

- 1. Ayodele, O. S., & Oluwade, B. (2019). A comparative Analysis of Quick, Merge and Insertion Sort Algorithms using three programming Languages I: Execution Time. African Journal of Mgt Information System , 1-18.
- Cavaliere, R. (2015). How to choose the right statistical software?—a method increasing the post-purchase satisfaction. how to choose the right statistical software?- a method increasing the post- purchase satisfaction , 585-598.
- 3. Michael Lewis-Beck, E. P. (2004). The SAGE Encyclopedia of Social Science Research Methods, Volume 1. Seattle, Washington: Amazon.com.
- 4. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stata
- 5. Godsey (2019). https://towardsdatascience.com/how-to-choose-statistical-software-tools, Accessed on July 1st, 2019.