

Libraries As Driving Access To Indigenous Knowledge (IK)

Ojei, L.N. & Owojuigbe, A.A.

Yaba College of Technology

Yaba, Lagos State, Nigeria

rettann2003@yahoo.com, lauratta.ojei@yabatech.edu.ng,

ayodeji.owojuigbe@yabatech.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

Indigenous knowledge (IK) is as old as man. Every knowledge learnt is a result of our interaction with our environment even as the western culture characterized by technology permeates every corner of peoples' ways of life in Nigeria: the way we greet, eat, dress and relate the issues of life. This paper analyzed the peculiar nature of IK which is akin to man and identified the viable roles the libraries can play in preserving IK for posterity as it tends to be distorted, lacking wholeness when been passed from one generation to another without proper documentation been put in place. Some challenges were identified as factors that hinders the library from optimally performing the functions of knowledge/information preservation and creating access for use. Also, the paper proffered some laudable guides that will assist the libraries in harnessing IK and make same available to the indigenous community and also make it a global knowledge.

Keywords: Indigenous Knowledge, culture, library and technology.

SMART-SMART-iSTEAMS Conference Proceedings Paper Citation Format

Ojei, L.N. & Owojuigbe, A.A. (2018): Libraries As Driving Access To Indigenous Knowledge (IK). SMART-iSTEAMS Multidisciplinary Conference, February, 2018, Ogwuashi-uku, Delta State, Nigeria. Pp 269-278

1. INTRODUCTION

The small and medium enterprises SMEs have been identified globally as the key engine that could effectively drive entrepreneurship and economic development process and improve the living standards of the citizens. All over the world there are empirical evidence that Small and Medium enterprises (SMEs) or Small Business firms serve as catalyst for entrepreneurship and economic development through employment creation , capital formation and income redistribution.

1.1 Introduction to Indigenous Knowledge (IK)

When we say something is indigenous, then it is peculiar to the owner. Language, religion and culture are factors that different a group of persons from the other, it is their heritage. People do not exist in vacuum; they are challenged daily with wide variety of issues, the make-up and perspectives of their ideology and the description of their language, inherited oral tradition, norms and values tells who they are: it is their indigenous knowledge. Indigenous knowledge bothers on how a peoples' culture is codified for ease of access and retrieval; what determines the knowledge that can be termed "secret" or "sacred", as such, how this knowledge are utilized.

Indigenous Knowledge (IK) as a term refers to knowledge held by communities and peoples that are indigenous, that is, they share some things, especially culture, in common. Indigenous knowledge is seen by Ugboma (2014:2) as “traditional knowledge associated with African tradition or culture. It is largely inherent in man. It is not associated with any form of formal learning/training but transmitted or learnt orally. It is associated with oral tradition and highly rooted in African culture.” This knowledge is derived from our folktales, proverbs, rites, poems and festivals and traditional politics amongst others. The most relevant kind of poetry for indigenous knowledge is in praise poems, poetic invocations for traditional healing, and poetry expressing deep thought and philosophy. The most commonly cited definition of 'indigenous knowledge' is that of Grenier (1998:6) "the unique, traditional, local knowledge existing within and developed around the specific conditions of women and men indigenous to a particular geographic area".

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, UNESCO (2017:1) defined IK as knowledge that “refers to the understandings, skills and philosophies developed by societies with long histories of interaction with their natural surroundings. For rural and indigenous peoples, local knowledge informs decision-making about fundamental aspects of day-to-day life Iseke (2013:2) identified the “Indigenous Elders” as “the educators, storytellers, historians, language keepers, and healers of our communities”. She explains further that “they sustain knowledge, cultural practices and spiritual beliefs held collectively within Indigenous communities and pass it on to the next generations”.

Succinctly, WIPO 2001 gave a comprehensive analogy of IK as they explained the relationship between traditional knowledge, folklore and indigenous knowledge thus:

Traditional knowledge is created, originated, developed and practiced by traditional knowledge holders . . . From WIPO's perspective, expressions of folklore are a subset of and included within the notion of traditional knowledge. Traditional knowledge is in turn, a subset of the broader concept of heritage. Indigenous knowledge being the traditional knowledge of Indigenous peoples, is also a subset of traditional knowledge. As some expressions of folklore are created by Indigenous persons there is an overlap between expressions of folklore and indigenous knowledge, both of which are forms of traditional knowledge. (WIPO, 2001: 26).

In our traditional settings, I remember vividly how local folklore teaches the tenets of the principles of truthfulness, communal peaceful coexistence, the virtues in hard work, traditional medicine and local technology (like basket making, pottery and cloth weaving).

1.2 Features of indigenous knowledge

The following highlights the special features of indigenous knowledge, which distinguishes it boldly from other types of knowledge. IK is:

1. **Local:** it is a set of experiences generated by people living within a particular community. Separating the technical from the non-technical, the rational from the non-rational could be problematic. Therefore, when transferred to other places, there is a potential risk of dislocating IK content.

2. Tacit: tacit knowledge is usually implied or interpreted from actions and therefore, because it is based on individual interpretation, it cannot be easily codified.
3. Transmitted orally, or through imitation and demonstration: Codifying it may lead to the loss of some of its properties. For example, some of our cultures are relayed in folktales and as folklore.
4. Experiential rather than theoretical knowledge: The experience of an individual informs his information base, also applications of trial and error instead of a true test in the rigorous laboratory constantly reinforce **IK**.
5. Learned through repetition: this is a defining characteristic of tradition even when new knowledge is added. Repetition aids in the retention and reinforcement of **IK**.
6. Constantly changing: indigenous knowledge has the ability of being produced as well as reproduced, discovered as well as lost; though it is often perceived by external observers as being somewhat static (Ellen and Harris, 1996).

Interestingly, these traditions, customs and habits as seen, are recorded and documented in photographs, films, tapes and disks. It also includes the tangible information carriers, such as bark paintings and works of art, books and manuscripts, aircraft and steam engines, natural history specimens and all manner of large and small objects relating to great events as well as everyday lives. Indigenous knowledge is holistic rather than diverse and inclusive of all aspects of life, yet many Indigenous peoples see the need for access to Western knowledge. For Indigenous people, education needs to balance culture and diversity with development. For non-Indigenous students, looking at Indigenous knowledge can enhance their understanding of Indigenous peoples and ways of looking at the world, as well as valuing traditional ecological knowledge.

The collection and documentation of local practices and knowledge of a given people has been in existence for as long as people have been in existence, traveling the globe and recording their observations. By the middle of the 20th century, arguments in favour of recognizing the importance of indigenous knowledge range from those based on philosophical principles to those derived from more utilitarian, pragmatic rationales. Hyde and Kabiru (2003) and Pence and McCallum (1994) argue that interventions are more successful when built on local knowledge. Many development agencies are now articulating the importance of understanding local knowledge and practices and, consequently, of designing culturally appropriate interventions as **IK** systems are at risk of being destroyed, there is a need therefore to capture, store and disseminate **IK** in order to ensure its preservation for posterity.

2. THE ROLE OF LIBRARIES IN CREATING ACCESS TO INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE

The basis of cultural heritage preservation is a desire to save the past while making the past accessible and usable. Little-Bear (2000:78) observed that Indigenous peoples are involved in the "renewal ceremonies, the telling and retelling of creation stories, the singing and re-singing of the songs, [that] are all humans' part in the maintenance of creation". The Library's role in these activities cannot be ignored. It is imperative that modern scientific knowledge and traditional knowledge should be brought closer together in interdisciplinary projects dealing with the links between culture, environment and development in such areas as the conservation of biological diversity, management of natural resources, understanding of natural hazards and mitigation of their impact.

Dissemination of information, which affects a people's livelihood, is of great importance and libraries are well placed to do this as free and universal access to information is often upheld as a major tenet of a society.

The nature of indigenous knowledge resources presupposes that there should be protection on these resources and according to Akabogu (2002), the legal obligation to protect the intellectual property rights is addressed in paragraph 38 of the Declaration of UNESCO's document, 1999a, and it states inter alia:

there is also a need to further develop appropriate national legal frameworks to accommodate the specific requirements of developing countries and traditional knowledge, sources and products, to ensure their recognition and adequate protection on the basis of the informed consent of the customary or traditional owners of this knowledge.

Thus, libraries are charged with the responsibility to identify, preserve and make accessible the oral, written and tangible documentary heritage of her host community; assist in the assessment of significance, identification, preservation presentation and accessibility of heritage collections of the people; recognize, preserve and disseminate folk life and other intangible aspects of heritage as an integral part of Nigeria's multicultural life that reflects and represents the beliefs, practices and traditions of every strata and section of the society; Assist and participate in the development of appropriate criteria of significance, methodologies and a community user-guide for the nomination of places of significance to be preserved and also work towards community education and interpretation; Promote and assist in the identification, preservation and continuation of language heritage of Nigeria.

3. CHALLENGES OF IK FOR NIGERIA LIBRARY SYSTEM:

By training and practice, librarians create, manage, package, preserve and disseminate knowledge more effectively including the much needed government information resources. ICTs need to be the central hub of all library functions, especially the use of Internet which is the world wide network, bringing resource sharing and access to current information to the door step of our libraries. The mode of access is significantly widening with the evolution of information communication technologies (ICTs) which have brought with it a different set of challenges. These include ways of dismantling of barriers to access in an era of information explosion and the moral obligation involved in viewing or accessing this type of knowledge and information (IK).

Nakata, Byrne, Nakata and Gardiner (2005:8) reckoned that "with regard to the handling of materials containing Indigenous knowledge, the challenges to the LIS sector begin with the recognition of Indigenous knowledge as a distinct system of knowledge with its own management regimes". The librarians are to always remember that these knowledge' are held in high esteem without distortion from westernization and as such the owners of this knowledge "trust" their own ways of managing what belongs to them. For instance, in Oba community in Idemilli of Anambra State, pythons are not to be killed for any reason; the indigenous people still beliefs it is a god that protects the land. Where ever a visitor in the community finds this snake, he/she has to call on the locals to pick it out.

The interesting part is that these snakes are harmless. Also, in Issele-Mkpitime, Aniocha North Local Government area of Delta State, some few years back, an attempt was made to change the name from Issele-Mkpitime (Mkpitime's Issele; note Mkpitime is a goddess) to Issele-Chukwu (God's Issele) as the people felt they have embraced Christianity well enough to reflect in their identity and they ended up facing the wraths of the gods, evident in outrageous records of deaths of indigenes, various calamities and drought. It was not until the name was changed back that they experienced peace once again. Adeniyi and Subair (2013:12) reported that "lukewarm attitude from library management and government towards IK, lack of cooperation from local communities, difficulty in providing access to IK due to copyright laws and cost of the project and the nature of IK itself" are the challenges facing libraries in the management of indigenous knowledge. Other challenges are:

1. **Recording and documentation:** IK is typically exchanged through personal communication from master to apprentice, from parent to child, etc and in some cases, modern tools could be used, while in other circumstances it may be appropriate to rely on more traditional methods like taped narration, drawings, thus making recording and documentation a major challenge because of the tacit nature of IK.
2. **Storage:** Storage is not limited to text document or electronic format; other retrievable repositories could include tapes, films, story telling, gene banks, etc.
3. **E-resources:** The availability of electronic information on the internet aids greatly in research. These are lacking in most libraries, so they cannot perform the roles expected of them.
4. **Government apathy:** For some time now, libraries have not been in good shape. From school through public to special and academic libraries, the same problems present themselves, making it difficult for them to discharge the duties expected of them effectively. All these types of libraries are not functioning to expectation because of low priority given to their development by the government. Inadequate funding results in poor infrastructure, including telephone and electricity, limited qualified personnel, inadequate ICT facilities, lack of technical support for ICT. These are some of the major factors that limit the support libraries can give to the sustenance of our cultural heritage.

4. ACCESS STRATEGIES TO INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE IN LIBRARIES:

Indigenous peoples are seen to be so attached to their inherited culture and tend to guide it with so much jealousy. There are certain indigenous knowledge that have been passed from one generation to others shrouded in secrecy. For example, amongst the Onicha-Uku people of Delta State, it is believed that the indigenous masquerade has the potency to harm evil doers, hence you would see people been bound to tell the truth in the name of the masquerade, or you see such situations where people would keep their valuables in visible glares and only secures it with the fresh part of the palm frond. These items remain untouched by anyone other than the initial owner, as it is believed that whosoever removes it invites the wrath of the gods. In this same community, knowledge about the "masquerades" is only known to indigenes that belong to the confraternity of the masquerades. In a situation like this, how will the libraries gain access such knowledge and more?

Bowrey and Anderson (2009:8) in their work reported that “a significant number of cultural and scientific institutions, nationally and internationally, maintain arguments that such repatriation to Indigenous peoples will deny the future possibilities for scientific access and inquiry” they further explained that “the insistence on keeping these materials taken from Indigenous peoples for future study in the 21st century demonstrates the relations of power that first, enabled such institutions to hold ‘on trust’, or own such materials, and second, the continuum of these power relations with the (re)articulated denial of access”. How can libraries and archives respond to indigenous people as a user groups? Accessing Indigenous knowledge and developing the ideas of different world views have the potential to provide citizens with a broader understanding of their world. For institutions that hold substantial collections of Indigenous cultural material, the changing technological environment can create a range of questions about who can access certain kinds of material.

A variety of policies and guidelines for conducting consultations with indigenous people and communities to determine the right access conditions, as well as cultural clearances for using materials exists in some communities and this affects the dissemination of information in our libraries, for example, in some places, women are not permitted to look at certain materials, like masquerades, thus, directing attention to ethical issues that arises. Information seekers in indigenous knowledge can access codified resources in the library that are available in CD-ROMs, hard copy files, audio discs/ tapes, and video tapes and also view materials on display in the library, as the case may be.

The place of library orientation to the indigenous people on the need for freedom of information cannot be overemphasized. It is the duty of libraries to organize tours, videos, talks and exposition on the importance of circulating information and knowledge, the need for proper recording and documentation of what is left in their culture for posterity sake, and essence of freedom of information in an information age. This is also corroborated by Bowrey and Anderson (2009:8) as they opined that “the access movement is supportive of a more culturally inclusive notion of public”.

Also Grenier (1998:5) advocates the need for integrating the indigenous people in the process of documenting their knowledge, as she has a vivid report of a certain study in an article on sustainability and technology transfer by Richard Wilk (1995), an American anthropologist that recognized failure of persons that intended to commercialize and foster the production of an indigenous palm-oil of the peoples of the Belizean rainforest, and she wondered whether “did anyone bother to ask local people the who, how, where, when, and why of their local palm-oil production system”. To Ilo (ND: 478), libraries “can organize talk shows involving traditional rulers, elderly people, and professionals in a bid to gather and record information on different subjects ranging from agriculture to medicare, marriage, communication, religion, conflict resolution, arts and crafts”. When libraries advocates for access to indigenous knowledge, there should be adherence to relevant rules protecting ownership of such knowledge by the indigenous people and modes of access as stipulated in the Freedom of Information (FOI) Act as relates to particular countries.

The fact that indigenous knowledge is usually transmitted orally thus renders its protection difficult. Extant literature on intellectual property rights shows that the management of the indigenous peoples' intellectual property is not exclusively provided for as there is no room for communal ownership of intellectual contents. Anderson (2005:83) also affirm that "copyright law does not cover all the types of rights Indigenous people want to their ICIP. In fact, intellectual property laws actually allow for the plundering of Indigenous knowledge by providing monopoly property rights to those who record or write down knowledge in a material form, or patent it".

5. RECOMMENDATION:

An old African proverb says that "when a knowledgeable old person dies, a whole library disappears" Every nation needs information, be it global or indigenous. Libraries are very capable of harnessing and managing all types of information by taking the right step in the right direction. The indigenous people' values what they have, the holds tenaciously unto it, like indigenous medicine, the libraries are thus expected to:

1. Organize library orientation, talks on the essence of documentation and the great benefit of knowledge sharing for the wellbeing of man. The knowledge of the use of herbs for drugs metamorphosed from indigenous medicine and technology has been used to process and preserve same while keeping the documentation for future use.
2. Solicit for fund. If provided with adequate funding and facilities, libraries will excel in information repacking and dissemination.
3. Liaise with governments. There is the need for governmental bodies to exhibit a genuine desire to have information disseminated, by putting the necessary structures, and adequate funding in place, including investing heavily in ICT hardware and software for libraries to enable them to be used for quick and easy dissemination of information. This will kill the apathy some have towards library services in this direction.
4. The libraries should also let the indigenous people know that there are rules and protection guiding the art of information sharing, and recognition given to intellectual property holders.

6. CONCLUSION

The paper has been able to define Indigenous Knowledge in a simple context of being a knowledge held by a group of person in a given geographical location held by such culture as language, greetings, and beliefs. From preliminary studies and this study, it has been reiterated that the major factors limiting the promotion of **IK** is man as government, man as information manager and man as information user. Man is controlled by: availability of fund to provide relevant resources needed to access, record and store **IK**; ego, trust (or lack of trust) to recognize the original intellectual property owner; relaying or utilizing information in the context it was provided without distortion respectively. Faced with these challenges, it has been recommended that all stakeholders be involved in the preservation of **IK** to avoid dearth of knowledge in the future.

REFERENCES

1. Akabogu, E. (2002). Indigenous knowledge systems, integrity of the commons and emerging regimes of intellectual property rights in a globalizing world. A paper presented at The Commons in an Age of Globalization, the Ninth Conference of the International Association for the Study of Common Property, Victoria Falls, Zimbabwe, June 17-21, 2002. Retrieved on 23 January, 2013 from <http://dlc.dlib.indiana.edu/archive/00000780/>
2. Adeniyi, I. A. and Subair, R. E (2013). Accessing Indigenous Knowledge Resources in Libraries and the Problems Encountered by Librarians Managing IK in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal) Libraries*. Retrieved 01 February, 2018 from <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2391&context=libphilprac>
3. Anderson, J. (2005). Indigenous Knowledge, Intellectual Property, Libraries and Archives: Crises of Access, Control and Future Utility pp 71-91. Australian Indigenous Knowledge and Libraries Edited By Martin Nakata and Marcia Langton. UTSePress, Sydney. 188p. Retrieved 28 January, 2018 from <https://opus.lib.uts.edu.au/bitstream/10453/19486/1/E-book.pdf>
4. Ellen, R. and Harris, H. (1996). Concepts of indigenous environmental knowledge in scientific and development studies literature: a critical assessment, draft paper East-West Environmental Linkages Network Workshop 3, Canterbury.
5. Grenier, L. (1998). Working with indigenous knowledge: a guide for researchers. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: International Development Research Center. Retrieved December, 2017 from <https://www.idrc.ca/en/book/working-indigenous-knowledge-guide-researchers>
6. Hyde, K. A. L., and Kabiru, M. N. (2003). Early childhood development as an important strategy to improve learning outcomes.
7. Indigenous knowledge. Retrieved on 16 March 2011 from <http://homepages.ihug.co.nz/~sai/indigen.html>
8. Ilo, P. I. (ND). Acquisition, preservation and accessibility of indigenous knowledge in academic libraries in Nigeria: the place of ICT. *Ikenga: International journal of institute of African studies*. Vol. 14 no 1. Retrieved December, 2017 from <http://eprints.covenantuniversity.edu.ng/3327/1/new%20new.pdf>
9. Iseke, J. (2013). Spirituality as decolonizing: Elders Albert Desjarlais, George McDermott, and Tom McCallum share understandings of life in healing practices. in *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society*. 2(1) 2013. pp. 35-54.
- Little Bear, L. (2000). Jagged worldviews colliding. Reclaiming Indigenous voice and vision (77- 85). Battiste, M. (Ed.), Vancouver, BC: UBC Press.
10. Michie, M. Where are Indigenous peoples and their knowledge in the reforming of learning, curriculum and pedagogy? Retrieved on 20 March, 2009 from <http://members.ozemail.com.au/~mmichie/acid.html>
11. Nakata, M., Byrne, A., Nakata, V., and Gardiner, G. (2005). Indigenous Knowledge, the library and information service sector, and Protocols. *Australian Academic and Research Libraries*, 36:2, 7 -21, Retrieved 01 February 2018 from <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00048623.2005.10721244>

12. Pence, A. R., and McCallum, M. (1994). Developing cross-cultural partnerships. In P. Moss & A. Pence (Eds.), *Valuing quality in early childhood services: New approaches to defining quality* (pp. 108-122).
13. Reedy, C. (2008). Recent trends in preservation of intangible cultural heritage. Retrieved on 22 January, 2015 from http://www.loc.gov/today/cyberlc/feature_wdesc.php?rec=4324
14. Ugboma, M. U. (2014). Availability and use of indigenous knowledge amongst rural women in Nigeria. *Library Philosophy and Practice* (e-journal). Paper 1167. Retrieved on 22 January, 2018 from <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1167>
15. Bowrey, K. and Anderson, J. (2009). The politics of global information sharing: whose cultural agendas are being advanced? *Social & Legal Studies* 0964 6639, Retrieved January 18 from DOI: 10.1177/0964663909345095. Vol. 18(4), 479-504
16. Grenier, L. (1998). Working with indigenous knowledge a guide for researchers. Retrieved on 22 January, 2018 from <https://www.idrc.ca/en/book/working-indigenous-knowledge-guide-researchers>
17. WIPO (2001). Report on fact-finding missions on intellectual property and traditional knowledge 1998-1999. Geneva: WIPO.