

Evaluation of Aviation Training Organizational Challenges Using Monte Carlo Simulation: A Case of Selected Aviation Training Organizations in Nigeria

¹Akaaba, I. I. & ²Usman, Y.

¹Aviation Management School, Nigerian College of Aviation Technology, Zaria

²Aircraft Maintenance Engineering School, Nigerian College of Aviation Technology, Zaria

Corresponding authors' E-mail: ishayaakaaba@gmail.com, usmanyaks@gmail.com

+2348051041130, +2348036638047

ABSTRACT

This study evaluated the organizational challenges facing selected Aviation Training Organizations (ATOs) in Nigeria. The selected ATOs are the Nigerian College of Aviation Technology (NCAT), Zaria; the International Aviation College (IAC), Ilorin; and the African Aviation and Aerospace University (AAAU), Abuja using Monte Carlo simulation. A mixed-methods approach with a predominantly quantitative emphasis was adopted. Primary data were gathered through structured questionnaires administered to 150 stakeholders (135 valid responses; 90% response rate), supplemented by semi-structured interviews and structured expert elicitation of three-point estimates. Ten critical organizational variables, including funding shortfall, aircraft and simulator downtime, staff turnover, programme completion delays and maintenance cost overruns, were represented by triangular probability distributions. Institutional performance was measured by a composite Institutional Performance Index (IPI) scaled from 0 to 100. Monte Carlo simulations comprising 10,000 iterations were executed. Financial and funding-related challenges emerged as the most severe (composite mean = 4.04), followed by manpower and staffing constraints. Baseline simulation produced a mean IPI of 68.60 (SD = 3.11), with only a 33.7% probability of attaining a “good” performance threshold (IPI ≥ 70). Sensitivity analysis identified programme completion delay and aircraft/simulator downtime as the strongest negative influences. Scenario analysis showed that under optimistic assumptions the mean IPI rose to 78.4 with a 98.2% probability of good performance, while under pessimistic conditions the mean IPI declined to 57.9. All five null hypotheses were rejected. The findings demonstrate that Nigerian ATOs operate under substantial interconnected organizational uncertainties and affirm the practical utility of probabilistic, simulation-based analysis as a decision-support tool for ATO managers, regulatory authorities and policymakers.

Keywords: Aviation Training Organizations; Monte Carlo simulation; Organizational challenges; ATO, Institutional performance; Risk assessment.

Aims Research Journal Reference Format:

Akaaba, I.I. & Usman, Y. (2026): Evaluation of Aviation Training Organizational Challenges Using Monte Carlo Simulation: A Case of Selected Aviation Training Organizations in Nigeria. *Advances in Multidisciplinary Research Journal*. Vol. 12 No. 3. Pp 13-22. www.isteams.net/aimsjournal. [dx.doi.org/10.22624/AIMS/V12N3P2](https://doi.org/10.22624/AIMS/V12N3P2)

1. INTRODUCTION

Aviation is a highly regulated, technology-intensive and safety-critical industry in which the competence of personnel is fundamental to the safe, efficient and sustainable operation of air transport systems. Aviation training organizations (ATOs) constitute a critical component of the aviation system because they provide the knowledge, technical skills, practical competencies and professional attitudes required for the development of qualified aviation personnel (International Civil Aviation Organization [ICAO], 2021, 2024).

The effectiveness of aviation training therefore has direct implications for operational safety, human performance, productivity and the overall development of the aviation industry. In Nigeria, ATOs operate within a highly regulated environment overseen by the Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA). The Nigeria Civil Aviation Regulations (Nig.CARs), Part 3, provides requirements for the approval, certification, administration and operation of Approved Training Organizations (Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority [NCAA], 2023a). Unlike many conventional educational institutions, aviation training organizations must simultaneously manage educational, operational, technical, financial, regulatory and safety requirements. Organizational challenges are therefore often interconnected rather than isolated.

The organizational challenges confronting Nigerian ATOs may include inadequate or fluctuating funding, shortage of qualified instructors, staff turnover, aging training aircraft and equipment, high aircraft maintenance costs, limited simulator availability, inadequate infrastructure, regulatory compliance requirements, delays in procurement, technological obsolescence and inefficient scheduling. These challenges can result in delays in student progression, increased training costs, underutilization of facilities and difficulties in meeting planned training targets.

Traditional organizational assessment methods frequently rely on descriptive statistics, rankings, averages and conventional risk matrices. Although useful for identifying challenges, these techniques may not adequately represent the uncertainty and interaction among multiple variables. Monte Carlo simulation (MCS) is a computational method used to model uncertainty by repeatedly generating possible values of uncertain input variables according to specified probability distributions and examining the resulting range of outcomes (Kwak & Ingall, 2009; Qazi & Simsekler, 2021). Aguiar et al. (2024) demonstrated the usefulness of Monte Carlo simulation for evaluating safety performance in flight training organizations by incorporating variations in instructor personnel, maintenance personnel, active flight students and available aircraft.

The present study focuses on the evaluation of organizational challenges in three selected Nigerian ATOs which are Nigerian College of Aviation Technology, Zaria; International Aviation College, Ilorin; and African Aviation and Aerospace University, Abuja using Monte Carlo simulation. The study examines the major organizational challenges, models uncertainty and variability in key organizational factors, assesses the probability and impact of identified challenges, analyzes possible future organizational scenarios and provides data-driven recommendations for improving organizational effectiveness.

1.1 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this study is to evaluate organizational challenges in selected Aviation Training Organizations in Nigeria using Monte Carlo simulation. The specific objectives are to:

- (a) identify the major organizational challenges affecting the selected ATOs;
- (b) model the uncertainty and variability associated with key organizational factors using Monte Carlo simulation;
- (c) assess the probability and impact of identified organizational challenges on institutional performance;
- (d) analyze possible future organizational scenarios based on the outcomes of the Monte Carlo simulation; and
- (e) provide data-driven recommendations for improving organizational effectiveness in the selected ATOs.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Aviation Training Organizations and Organizational Effectiveness

An Aviation Training Organization is an institution authorized by a civil aviation authority to provide aviation personnel training in accordance with prescribed regulatory requirements. ICAO emphasizes a competency-based approach to aviation training, whereby training is designed around the knowledge, skills and attitudes required for effective job performance (ICAO, 2021). Organizational effectiveness refers to the extent to which an organization achieves its intended objectives using available resources appropriately. For an ATO, effectiveness encompasses achieving planned training targets, graduating students within expected periods, maintaining training quality, utilizing aircraft and equipment efficiently, maintaining adequate instructor availability and complying with regulatory requirements (Cameron, 1986).

2.2 Organizational Challenges in Aviation Training

Major categories of organizational challenges in ATOs include human-resource challenges (instructor shortages, staff turnover, inadequate professional development), financial challenges (inadequate funding, delays in fund releases, inflation, foreign-exchange fluctuations), infrastructure challenges, aircraft availability and serviceability, maintenance challenges, technological challenges, student-enrolment and training-demand pressures, administrative and management challenges, and regulatory compliance requirements (Aguiar et al., 2024; ICAO, 2021; NCAA, 2023a). These factors interact in complex ways. For example, inadequate funding can affect aircraft maintenance, which may reduce aircraft availability, which can subsequently increase student waiting time and training duration.

2.3 Monte Carlo Simulation in Organizational Risk Assessment

Monte Carlo simulation is a numerical modelling technique in which random samples are repeatedly generated from specified probability distributions to estimate the distribution of possible outcomes. The technique has been widely applied in project management, engineering, finance and risk analysis (Kwak & Ingall, 2009). Qazi and Simsekler (2021) demonstrated that Monte Carlo simulation can improve risk prioritization by incorporating uncertainty in both risk probability and impact. Aguiar et al. (2024) developed a safety-performance decision-making tool for flight training organizations using Monte Carlo simulation, incorporating instructor personnel, maintenance personnel, active flight students and aircraft availability as key variables. The present study extends this methodological direction by considering a wider range of organizational challenges and examining institutional performance and future organizational scenarios within selected Nigerian ATOs.

2.4 Theoretical Framework

The study is grounded in Systems Theory (von Bertalanffy, 1968), which views an organization as a system consisting of interconnected components that interact with one another and with the external environment. An ATO can be conceptualized as a system of inputs (students, instructors, funding, aircraft, equipment, infrastructure), processes (instruction, flight training, assessment, maintenance, administration), outputs (trained professionals, graduation, institutional productivity) and feedback mechanisms. The Resource-Based View (Barney, 1991) further posits that organizational performance is influenced by the resources and capabilities possessed by an organization, while Contingency Theory (Donaldson, 2001) suggests that organizational effectiveness depends on the fit between organizational characteristics and environmental conditions.

2.5 Research Gap

The literature reveals limited empirical evidence concerning the application of Monte Carlo simulation to organizational challenges within Nigerian aviation training institutions. Previous aviation-related simulation research has concentrated significantly on specific outcomes such as safety performance. There is a need for a broader organizational model that incorporates multiple dimensions of ATO performance, models multiple organizational variables simultaneously, systematically generates alternative future scenarios and provides probabilistic estimates of institutional outcomes. The present study addresses these gaps.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

The study adopted a mixed-methods research design with a predominant quantitative orientation, supplemented by qualitative insights. The quantitative component centred on the application of Monte Carlo simulation to model uncertainty and variability in organizational factors and to generate probabilistic estimates of impact on institutional performance. The qualitative component involved expert interviews and document analysis to identify and contextualize the major organizational challenges. The study is cross-sectional in its data collection phase but incorporates a forward-looking dimension through scenario modelling of future organizational states.

3.2 Population and Sampling

The target population comprised key stakeholders involved in the management, administration, training delivery and regulatory oversight of the three selected ATOs: management and administrative staff, academic and instructional staff, technical and maintenance personnel, and relevant regulatory or oversight officers. The three institutions were purposively selected because they represent the major publicly oriented aviation training providers in Nigeria spanning different stages of institutional maturity. A multi-stage sampling approach was employed, with stratified purposive sampling within each institution. An estimated sample size of 120–150 respondents (approximately 40–50 per institution) was targeted. Of 150 questionnaires distributed, 135 valid responses were returned (90% response rate).

3.3 Data Collection

Primary data were collected through structured questionnaires administered to staff across the three ATOs, semi-structured interviews with key informants, and structured expert elicitation of three-point estimates (optimistic, most likely and pessimistic values) for uncertain variables. Secondary data included institutional reports, NCAA regulatory documents, published performance statistics and relevant literature. The questionnaire covered demographic information, identification and ranking of organizational challenges on a five-point Likert scale, perceived frequency, severity and impact of each challenge, and likelihood estimates and ranges for key variables to support probability distribution fitting.

3.4 Monte Carlo Simulation Framework

Ten critical organizational variables were selected based on literature, questionnaire responses and interviews: annual funding shortfall relative to budget, training aircraft/simulator downtime per month, staff turnover/attrition rate per annum, student/trainee enrolment fluctuation per session, delay in completion of a training programme, maintenance cost overrun relative to planned budget, time to fill a critical staff vacancy, frequency of power/utility disruption, lead time for procurement of critical spare parts, and percentage of trainees affected by resource delays. Triangular probability distributions were fitted from aggregated expert three-point estimates. Institutional performance was operationalized through a composite Institutional Performance Index (IPI) scaled from 0 to 100.

A spreadsheet-based Monte Carlo model linking the input variables to the IPI was constructed, incorporating additive and multiplicative effects consistent with systems-theory interdependencies. Ten thousand iterations were performed. Analysis encompassed descriptive statistics of the resulting performance distributions, calculation of exceedance probabilities, Spearman rank sensitivity analysis and comparative scenario modelling under optimistic, baseline and pessimistic conditions. Supporting statistical procedures were conducted at the 0.05 significance level using SPSS Version 26.0; simulation was performed in Python (NumPy/SciPy).

3.5 Validity, Reliability and Ethical Considerations

Content validity was ensured through expert review of the questionnaire and interview guide. Face validity was assessed via pilot testing. Reliability of multi-item scales was examined using Cronbach’s alpha. For the Monte Carlo model, credibility was enhanced by transparent documentation of distribution assumptions, use of multiple expert inputs and sensitivity testing. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Participation was voluntary, data were anonymized and confidential financial or operational data were handled with strict confidentiality.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Demographic Characteristics

Of the 135 valid respondents, 71.9% were male and 28.1% female. Instructors and academic staff constituted the largest category (33.3%), followed by middle management (18.5%) and top/senior management (15.6%). Most respondents (53.3%) possessed between 1 and 10 years of experience with their institutions, while 28.9% had more than 10 years of experience. The response rates by institution were: NCAT 96.0% (n = 48), IAC 84.0% (n = 42) and AAU 90.0% (n = 45).

4.2 Major Organizational Challenges

Respondents rated organizational challenge statements on a five-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree). Mean scores of 3.50 and above were interpreted as indicating agreement that the item constitutes a significant challenge. Financial and funding-related challenges ranked highest (composite mean = 4.04), with foreign-exchange volatility (M = 4.22), high costs of materials, fuel and consumables (M = 4.18), inadequate institutional funding (M = 4.12) and delays in budget releases (M = 4.05) emerging as the most severe individual items. Manpower and staffing challenges ranked second (composite M = 3.95), driven primarily by shortage of qualified instructors (M = 4.15) and non-competitive remuneration (M = 4.05). Technology and equipment modernisation issues ranked third (M = 3.93), while infrastructure and facilities challenges ranked fourth (M = 3.86). Regulatory and administrative challenges, although still above the decision threshold, ranked lowest among the major categories (M = 3.61). Open-ended responses consistently highlighted inadequate and delayed funding, shortages of qualified instructors and aircraft or equipment unserviceability as the most critical single problems.

Table 1. Mean ranking of organisational challenges (N = 135)

Challenge Category	Mean	SD	Rank
Financial and Funding Challenges	4.04	0.71	1
Manpower and Staffing Challenges	3.95	0.76	2
Technology & Equipment Challenges	3.93	0.74	3
Infrastructure & Facilities Challenges	3.86	0.79	4
Training Quality & Demand Challenges	3.64	0.81	5
Regulatory, Policy & Administrative	3.61	0.83	6

Note. Decision rule: Mean ≥ 3.50 = Significant challenge. Source: Field Survey, 2026.

4.3 Modelling Uncertainty and Variability

Triangular distribution parameters were derived from aggregated expert three-point estimates for the ten critical organizational factors (Table 2). Baseline Monte Carlo simulation (10,000 iterations) produced a mean IPI of 68.60 with a standard deviation of 3.11. The probability of attaining a “good” performance threshold (IPI ≥ 70) stood at only 33.7%, while the likelihood of falling below 60 was negligible (0.4%). The relatively narrow standard deviation indicates that performance is consistently moderate rather than highly volatile around a high mean; the constraint is structural rather than purely stochastic.

Table 2. Triangular distribution parameters for key organisational factors

Organisational Factor	Optimistic	Most Likely	Pessimistic	Unit
Annual funding shortfall	10	25	45	%
Aircraft/simulator downtime	3	8	18	days/mo
Staff turnover rate	5	12	25	%/yr
Enrolment fluctuation	5	15	30	%
Programme completion delay	2	6	14	weeks
Maintenance cost overrun	8	20	40	%
Time to fill critical vacancy	2	5	12	months
Power/utility disruption	1	4	10	inc./mo
Spare-parts procurement lead time	2	6	16	weeks
% trainees affected by delays	15	35	60	%

Source: Aggregated expert three-point estimates from Field Survey, 2026.

Table 3. Baseline Monte Carlo Results – Institutional Performance Index (10,000 iterations)

Statistic	Value
Mean IPI	68.60
Standard Deviation	3.11
Minimum	56.57
Maximum	77.88
5th Percentile (P5)	63.26
Median (P50)	68.72
95th Percentile (P95)	73.61
Probability IPI ≥ 70 (good performance)	33.7%
Probability IPI < 60 (poor performance)	0.4%

Source: Monte Carlo Simulation Output (10,000 iterations).

4.4 Sensitivity Analysis

Spearman rank correlations between input variables and the output IPI identified the most influential factors: programme completion delay ($r = -0.593$), aircraft/simulator downtime ($r = -0.536$), funding shortfall ($r = -0.402$), staff turnover ($r = -0.266$) and enrolment fluctuation ($r = -0.218$). These results indicate that reducing programme delays and aircraft downtime would yield the greatest improvement in institutional performance, followed by improvements in funding reliability.

4.5 Probability and Impact Assessment

Respondents rated each challenge on a 1–5 probability scale and a 1–5 impact scale. A composite Risk Score was computed as Probability × Impact (range 1–25). Five challenges fell into the High-risk category (Risk Score ≥ 15): inadequate/delayed funding (17.77), shortage of qualified instructors/staff (16.73), aircraft/equipment maintenance delays (16.69), outdated equipment/aircraft/simulators (15.88) and high staff turnover (15.33).

Regulatory non-compliance, although lower in probability, carried a high impact score (4.15), reflecting the severe consequences of losing ATO approval under Nig.CARs Part 3.

4.6 Scenario Analysis

Three alternative future scenarios were constructed by adjusting the triangular distribution parameters and re-running the simulation (10,000 iterations each). Under the optimistic scenario (funding shortfall reduced to 5–12–20%; downtime reduced to 1–4–8 days/month; staff turnover reduced to 3–7–12%; programme delay reduced to 1–3–6 weeks), the mean IPI rose to 78.4 (SD = 2.8), with a 98.2% probability of achieving an IPI of 70 or higher and a 28.5% probability of reaching 80 or higher. The baseline (most-likely) scenario retained the original parameters, yielding mean IPI = 68.6 and $P(IPI \geq 70) = 33.7\%$. Under the pessimistic scenario (funding shortfall 20–35–55%; downtime 6–12–25 days; turnover 10–18–30%; programme delay 4–9–18 weeks), the mean IPI declined to 57.9 (SD = 3.6), the probability of good performance fell to 0.8%, and the chance of poor performance ($IPI < 60$) rose to 72.4%.

Table 4. Comparative Monte Carlo scenario outcomes

Metric	Optimistic	Most-Likely	Pessimistic
Mean IPI	78.4	68.6	57.9
Standard Deviation	2.8	3.11	3.6
P(IPI ≥ 70)	98.2%	33.7%	0.8%
P(IPI ≥ 80)	28.5%	0.0%	0.0%
P(IPI < 60)	0.0%	0.4%	72.4%

Source: Monte Carlo Scenario Simulations (10,000 iterations each).

4.7 Hypothesis Testing

All five null hypotheses were tested at the 0.05 level of significance and rejected:

- H₀₁: There is a significant negative relationship between identified organizational challenges and institutional performance (Pearson’s $r = -0.612$, $p < 0.001$).
- H₀₂: Organizational resource variability exerts a statistically significant effect on institutional performance (ANOVA $F(1, 19998) = 1842.6$, $p < 0.001$).
- H₀₃: There are significant differences in the probability and impact of organizational challenges among the selected ATOs (ANOVA $F(2, 132) = 4.87$, $p = 0.009$). NCAT and IAC reported significantly higher funding- and aircraft-related risk scores than AAAU.
- H₀₄: Monte Carlo simulation provides materially superior predictive insight compared with conventional deterministic assessment. A deterministic model using only “most-likely” point estimates produced a single IPI of 69.1 (approximately the 55th percentile), whereas the Monte Carlo distribution revealed a 66.3% probability of falling below the good-performance threshold of 70.
- H₀₅: The optimistic, most-likely and pessimistic scenario distributions are statistically distinct (Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests, all $p < 0.001$).

5. DISCUSSION

The findings confirm that Nigerian ATOs operate under substantial organisational uncertainty. Financial constraints—particularly foreign-exchange volatility and delayed budget releases—emerged as the dominant challenge, consistent with the capital-intensive nature of aviation training and with earlier observations of resource pressure in African aviation training environments. Manpower shortages and high turnover further constrain capacity, echoing the instructor-availability variable highlighted by Aguiar et al. (2024) as critical to flight-training performance.

The Monte Carlo results extend beyond descriptive ranking by quantifying the probability that institutional performance will meet or fall short of managerial targets under current uncertainty. The baseline probability of achieving an IPI ≥ 70 is only 33.7%, indicating that “business-as-usual” is unlikely to deliver consistently good outcomes. Sensitivity analysis identifies programme delays and aircraft downtime as the highest-leverage variables, suggesting that maintenance reliability and scheduling efficiency should receive priority attention alongside funding. Scenario analysis demonstrates that coordinated improvements in a limited set of controllable variables can dramatically raise the probability of favourable outcomes (from approximately one-third to near certainty). This finding supports the usefulness of Monte Carlo simulation as a decision-support tool for ATO managers and aligns with the broader literature on probabilistic risk assessment in project and organisational settings (Kwak & Ingall, 2009; Qazi & Simsekler, 2021).

Institutional differences are noteworthy: the longer-established NCAT and the specialized flight-training college IAC exhibit higher absolute risk scores on funding and instructor issues than the newer university AAAU, whose challenges are more heavily weighted toward infrastructure expansion and programme development. Contingency theory therefore finds support in the data. From a theoretical standpoint, the results reinforce Systems Theory: interventions in one subsystem (funding or maintenance) propagate through aircraft availability, instructor utilisation and student progression. The Resource-Based View is also supported; institutions that can stabilise critical specialised resources (qualified instructors, serviceable aircraft, reliable funding) are positioned for superior performance.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusions

Nigerian Aviation Training Organizations operate under substantial and interconnected organizational uncertainties that conventional descriptive or deterministic assessment methods do not adequately capture. Financial constraints—especially foreign-exchange volatility, high operating costs and delayed funding—constitute the dominant challenge, followed closely by shortages of qualified instructors, aircraft/equipment unserviceability and staff turnover. Under current estimated uncertainty ranges, the expected Institutional Performance Index is moderate (approximately 68.6 on a 0–100 scale) and the probability of consistently achieving good performance (IPI ≥ 70) is only about one in three. Coordinated improvements in funding reliability, aircraft serviceability and programme scheduling can elevate the probability of good outcomes from roughly one-third to near certainty. Monte Carlo simulation offers a superior decision-support capability for evaluating organizational challenges in aviation training organizations compared with traditional deterministic or simple risk-matrix approaches.

6.2 Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following data-driven recommendations are offered:

Management of the selected ATOs: Prioritize funding reliability and diversification through multi-year funding frameworks and diversified revenue streams; target high-leverage operational variables (programme completion delays and aircraft/simulator downtime) through improved maintenance planning and scheduling systems; strengthen manpower retention and development via competitive remuneration and structured professional development; institutionalize periodic Monte Carlo or similar probabilistic scenario planning as a routine management tool; and develop phased technology and fleet modernization plans.

Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA): Incorporate organizational resilience and resource-adequacy indicators into ATO oversight and approval processes under Nig.CARs Part 3; facilitate structured knowledge-sharing platforms among ATOs; and support the development of national guidelines or toolkits for risk-based organizational assessment in aviation training institutions.

Policymakers and Government: Ensure timely and predictable release of budgetary allocations to public aviation training institutions; explore dedicated aviation manpower development funds insulated from short-term fiscal volatility; support infrastructure and equipment modernization through targeted capital grants or public-private partnerships; and align national aviation education and human-capital policies with the demonstrated capacity constraints of existing ATOs.

Institution-specific considerations: NCAT and IAC should emphasize fleet serviceability, instructor retention and funding stability; AAAU should prioritize infrastructure expansion, programme development and staffing start-up support consistent with its stage of institutional maturity.

6.3 Limitations and Future Research

Reliance on expert judgment for probability distributions introduces subjectivity, although structured elicitation and multiple respondents mitigate this. Limited availability of long historical time-series data constrains purely empirical distribution fitting. Findings are specific to the three selected ATOs and may not be fully generalizable without further study. Future research should include longitudinal studies, extension to a larger sample of Nigerian and African ATOs, integration of more detailed operational data to refine empirical distributions, comparative studies examining the relative effectiveness of different intervention packages, and exploration of hybrid simulation approaches combining Monte Carlo methods with system-dynamics modelling.

Acknowledgements

This research was sponsored by an Institution-Based Research (IBR) Grant from the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund), the authors sincerely appreciate this effort. The authors gratefully acknowledge the management and staff of the Federal Airports Authority of Nigeria at Murtala Muhammed Airport Terminal 2 for facilitating access and participating in the survey.

REFERENCES

- Aguiar, M. D., Anderson, C. L., Truong, D., Woo, G. S., & Byrnes, K. (2024). Development of a safety performance decision-making tool for flight training organizations. *Journal of Aviation/Aerospace Education & Research*, 33(2). <https://doi.org/10.58940/2329-258X.2018>
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
- Cameron, K. S. (1986). Effectiveness as paradox: Consensus and conflict in conceptions of organizational effectiveness. *Management Science*, 32(5), 539–553. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.32.5.539>
- Donaldson, L. (2001). *The contingency theory of organizations*. SAGE Publications.
- International Civil Aviation Organization. (2021). *Training instructors guide (Doc 10097)*. ICAO.
- International Civil Aviation Organization. (2024). *Competency-based training*. ICAO. <https://www.icao.int/competency-based-training>
- Kwak, Y. H., & Ingall, L. (2009). Exploring Monte Carlo simulation applications for project management. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 37(2), 83. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EMR.2009.5235458>

- Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority. (2023a). Nigeria Civil Aviation Regulations (Nig.CARs): Part 3— Approved Training Organizations. NCAA. <https://ncaa.gov.ng/documents/regulations/nig-cars-2023/part-3-approved-training-organisations/>
- Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority. (2023b). Evaluation and approval of an aviation training organization. NCAA.
- Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority. (2024). Current list of approved training organizations. NCAA.
- Pascu, P. (2023). Economic risks for project management—A Monte Carlo simulation approach. *USV Annals of Economics and Public Administration*, 23(1), 128–133. [https://doi.org/10.4316/AEPA.2023.23.1\(37\).128-133](https://doi.org/10.4316/AEPA.2023.23.1(37).128-133)
- Qazi, A., & Simsekler, M. C. E. (2021). Risk assessment of construction projects using Monte Carlo simulation. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 14(5), 1202–1218. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMPB-03-2020-0097>
- von Bertalanffy, L. (1968). *General system theory: Foundations, development, applications*. George Braziller.
- Yamane, T. (1967). *Statistics: An introductory analysis* (2nd ed.). Harper & Row.