

Academic City University College – Accra Ghana
Society for Multidisciplinary & Advanced Research Techniques (SMART) Africa
Tony Blair Institute for Global Change
FAIR Forward – Artificial Intelligence for All - Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH

Accra Bespoke Multidisciplinary Innovations Conference (ABMIC)

& The Africa AI Stakeholders' Summit

14th December, 2021

Dimensions of Healthcare Quality Services Gaps in the Ghanaian Private Health Sector– An Overview of Concepts, Antecedents and Associated Literature

Rominiyi, G.A.

Doctoral Programme in Business Administration

Swiss Business School – Switzerland/NIBS Accra, Ghana

E-mail: briel120@dba.nibs.edu.gh



Proceedings Citation Format

Rominiyi, G.A. (2021) Dimensions of Healthcare Quality Services Gaps in the Ghanaian Private Health Sector– An Overview of Concepts, Antecedents and Associated Literature. Proceedings of the Accra Bespoke Multidisciplinary Innovations Conference. University of Ghana/Academic City University College, Accra, Ghana. December 2021. Pp 289-304 www.isteam.net/ghanabespoke2021.

Dimensions of Healthcare Quality Services Gaps in the Ghanaian Private Health Sector– An Overview of Concepts, Antecedents and Associated Literature

Rominiyi, G.A.

Doctoral Programme in Business Administration
Swiss Business School – Switzerland/NIBS Accra, Ghana
E-mail: briel120@dba.nibs.edu.gh

ABSTRACT

The concept of service quality gap (SQG) is the difference between client's intrinsic expectations and perceived quality of services delivered which may be positive gap (where services delivered exceeded expectations) or negative gap (where services delivered were expectations) due to weakened inner system processes. This paper focuses on the review of concepts, antecedents and associated literature related to healthcare service quality gaps. Customer satisfaction antecedent is *prima facie* service quality. Therefore a measurement of service quality becomes the fulcrum of establishing customer satisfaction or the gap of it. Healthcare service quality dimensions of measurement have an omnibus classification into the functional quality and technical quality. While technical quality (Curing quality) in the health care sector refers primarily to the technical accuracy of the medical diagnoses and procedures or the conformance to standard operating medical procedures or professional specifications, functional quality engenders the 'How' or manner or caring quality of service delivery to patients (Lam, 1997). It outlines the research work of notable scholars and academic in the area of healthcare service quality and the generic concept of general service quality. A cross-sectoral overview and historical perspective and service quality gap were highlighted. We also examine contexts both core and tangential to the discourse and provide insights into health services in Ghana and private healthcare givers as well as SERVQUAL critiques and their justification.

Keywords: Healthcare, Quality, Services, Gaps, Concepts, Antecedents, SERVQUAL, Critiques

1. INTRODUCTION

(Aghamolaei et al., 2008; Baru & Qadeer, 2000; Berry & Bendapudi, 2007; Carcamo, 2011). To further support the study carried out in India, Baru and Quadeer (2000) posited that it is a quality gap to assume that the private healthcare sector offers better service quality than public hospitals because investigations show that it is a façade. Although empirical evidence has shown over the years of a direct correlation between superior quality service/product offerings and superior business performance, high productivity, increase market shares and where service or product quality is deficient or poor services, and it results in supposedly poor business financial results, and overall corporate or organizational performance but in the private healthcare sector of Ghana, there are cases at variance to this age long-held views and conclusions (Kwanteng & Lumor, 2017). The works of one of the fathers of quality management support the former direct impact of quality and high organizational performance.

The service quality underpinning foundational work was supported by researchers in the manufacturing sector. They asserted finished products match or exceed customer's

expectations, their work laid down benchmark not only on finished products but on service quality and these new standards impacted Japanese industrialists to embrace new concepts about eighty (80) decades ago (Keinan & Karugu, 2018). These researchers went further to state that as the quality of finished products increases, down-time hours will reduce as the organization is better resourced due to improved revenue, customers bonding will improve and ultimately, adjustments in prices and size of the market will shift upward (Arauz & Suzuki, 2014; Klefsjo, Bergquist & Edgerman, 2006).

They stated that by improving quality, it is feasible to expand profitability, which brings about the improved seriousness of a business venture and therefore recommended that improvement of value moves misuse of worker hours and of machine time into the assembling of good items and better products (Evans & Lindsay, 2008). *Managing for Quality and Performance Excellence*. Mason, Ohio: Thomson Business and Economics. Better calibre can mean better fulfilled and satisfied clients and more steadfast in business relationships (Deming, 1986; Gaither & Frazier, 1999). Therefore a violation of the above foundational principles in the healthcare sector will portend negative consequences.

This study was therefore focused on unearthing any trait 'process gap syndrome' of service quality gap, which can affect the 'finished products' of the private healthcare sector in Ghana. Reports of a few studies on service gap or gap of quality are stated below: Private hospitals fared poorly on all the parameters of assessment of service quality for example, quality of water used was compromised due to no system in place for cleaning of storage water tanks periodically, unqualified personnel that were poorly remunerated, lack of regular maintenance of biomedical equipment etc., therefore the assumption that the private sector is more efficient and provides better quality care does not stand up to empirical scrutiny. It is a "Medical gap or process illusion". There must be stringent conditions of employment regulations and controls, these are very important and not cosmetic renewal of licenses (Baru & Quadeer, 2000).

In another study by Koksma and Kremer (2019), the gap of quality in healthcare must be constantly examined in order to recreate quality improvement that positively impacts all stakeholders. The study furthered conceptualized a new era of quality improvement in which patients and health care providers work together to overcome quality distortion obstacles and eventually eliminate imbalances. Furthermore, the quality gap was also considered as the problem, which starts when people see their models as true and turn their back on the complexity of the real world. That creates a gap." "A quality gap?" Health care providers and patients are all on the road together, and quality is not a final destination but a journey itself. Health care providers should not deny the dynamic, pluralistic, and moral nature of quality but, instead, see it as the starting point of a journey of discovery. The quest is to discover how individual patients define quality and to work with them to achieve it (Loughlin, 1993).

Customer satisfaction antecedent is prima facie service quality (Baharun & Feiz, 2012). Therefore a measurement of service quality becomes the fulcrum of establishing customer satisfaction or the gap of it. Healthcare service quality dimensions of measurement have an omnibus classification into the functional quality and technical quality (Gronroos, 1984). While technical quality (Curing quality) in the health care sector refers primarily to the technical accuracy of the medical diagnoses and procedures or the conformance to standard operating medical procedures or professional specifications, functional quality engenders the 'How' or manner or caring quality of service delivery to patients (Lam, 1997). Finally, the study of Soteriou and Stavrinides (1997) puts service quality gap and bank profit gap in a proper perspective as they stated that "a bank branch may report a high volume of

products and services offered, as well as profits, but lose this advantage in the long run because of eroding service quality”.

2. ANTECEDENTS OF SERVICE QUALITY GAP

Patently, the administrative and support quality, along with specialized technical skills quality, are considered as the two fundamentals that influence perceptions of a patient on service quality of private hospitals (Orava & Tuominen, 2002; Brady, Wasson, Stirling, McAllister & Damani, 2006). Moreover, Trumble, O'Brien and Hartwig (2006), for example, showed that patients could evaluate the abilities of specialists or physician and other medical personnel while interfacing with them (technical quality); however, where a patient is in serious pain or gotten under crisis condition, the advantage of service quality appraisal is practically non-presence. Anyway, Patient (Where the patient ailment permits) his impression of service quality level essentially impacts the decision of the emergency clinic. However, experience has shown that patients at times think that its hard to comprehend the degree of medical care skills and precision of treatment in light of its intricate, high credence nature, and many interrelated multi-point interactions (Hariharan, Day, Mosely, Kumar & Gora, 2004; Arasli, Ekiz & Katircioglu, 2008).

In any case, judging from high credence and specialized parts of medical care, like specialist's abilities or professional's diagnostics mastery, has consistently been a test for patients (Eleuch, 2011). Patients are anyway more ready to evaluate useful quality measurements, which includes strong support regularly than not, is simpler to survey by patients giving actual proof, when contrasted with a specialized quality area of healthcare (Bakar, Akgun & Al-Assaf, 2008). Anyway, in a set of three orders of HM of service quality by Brady and Cronin (2001), the team considered healthcare dimensionalities as containing :

1. Interaction quality-which incorporates relationship, behaviour interpersonal relations, level of skills: medical service, nursing services and support services.
2. Physical environment quality—Considered as ‘the hospital’, a representation of the brand, which includes tangibles- hospital infrastructure and ambient conditions, and social factors.
3. Outcome quality- which includes turnaround time, satisfaction, tangible evidence of quality service and loyalty or valance.

The triad captures how patients judge healthcare service quality based on their interactions with these dimensions. And in a notable work of “Service triangle” by Carson and Carson (1997), the impetus of the work accentuates Brady and Cronin Hierarchical model Triad service quality measurement as they introduced another Triad dominant to delivery processes of service quality and, in particular, healthcare service quality viz (Tenner & DeToro, 1992). These three dominant dimensions are the service organization, the service provider, and the consumer/patient.

Service organization represents the private hospital, management and its system set up in order to meet the needs of service providers (Healthcare professionals - Doctors, consultants, Nurses, etc.) and the consumers (Patients-In and Out) (Albrecht, 1988; Carson & Carson, 1997). Subjecting Brady and Cronin’s dimensions under this triad relationships will bring to fore the impact and connections of the dimensions in a service triangular examination and the mirror from patients perspective (Albrecht, 1988; Albrecht & Zemke, 1985).

It is against this background of the above dimensions relationships that this study was expected to examine crystallizing factors that snowballed into service quality gap (SQG) in the private healthcare sector Ghana.

3. THE CONCEPT OF HEALTHCARE SERVICE QUALITY (HSQ)

Healthcare affects the economies of nations and every living person globally, it impacts the nature of daily living of man and universally considered as an uncommon service that individuals require yet feel they don't really need it (Carson et al., 2007). The nature of the high risks involved in healthcare distinguishes this phenomenon as unique, in fact, it is evidently the most individualized and significant service that buyers purchase, yet with different quality levels even within a locality that boasts of only a handful of healthcare facilities. Medical services are one of the typical examples of variations in service delivery from one healthcare facility to another, indeed healthcare delineates its quality levels (Wennberg & Fisher, 2006).

The sector is often seen across nations of the world as the most troubled yet very important and unique service that its costs could be prohibitive, accessibility could be discriminative, errors could be concealed and fatal, and wastages could be abysmally high. Therefore conceptualizing healthcare service quality (HSQ) and assessing patients perception and satisfaction becomes a very complex thing (Tanner & Anthony, 2006). Furthermore, the complexity of healthcare service quality is even more difficult to define and measure than in other service sectors.

The healthcare service sector has distinct characteristics which set it apart from other sectors, and these include its intangibility, heterogeneity and simultaneity. These peculiar features make it difficult to define and measure quality (Kwanteng et al., 2017). Additionally, healthcare service quality depends on the service process and customer and service provider interactions. Some healthcare quality attributes such as timeliness, consistency, and accuracy are hard to measure beyond a subjective assessment by the customer. It is often difficult to reproduce consistent healthcare services (Lewis, 1989; Dutta, 2009). Healthcare services can differ between producers, customers, places, and daily. This 'heterogeneity' can occur because different professionals (e.g. physicians, nurses, etc.) deliver the service to patients with varying needs. Quality standards are more difficult to establish in-service operations.

Healthcare professionals provide services differently because factors vary, such as experience, individual abilities, and personalities (Teicher, 2002). Quality healthcare is a subjective, complex, and multi-dimensional concept. Robinson (2003) defined healthcare quality as 'the application of medical science and technology in a manner that maximises its benefit to health without correspondingly increasing the risk'. He distinguishes three components of quality: technical quality, interpersonal quality, and amenities. Technical quality relates to the effectiveness of care in producing achievable health gain. Interpersonal quality refers to the extent of accommodation of patient needs and preferences. Amenities include features such as the comfort of physical surroundings and attributes of the organisation of service provision. Øvretveit (2015) defines quality care as the 'Provision of care that exceeds patient expectations and achieves the highest possible clinical outcomes with the resources available.'

Unlike other Service quality in other industries or of human activities with universal applicability of assessments and cross-sectoral dimensions of similar challenges, in healthcare-specific SQ challenges which are significant and pervasive are mostly not attractive areas of discussion and research in non-medical literature, such as lab errors, communication errors, wrong diagnosis, surgery errors or false alarm test in a mammogram or burn out –stressed healthcare personnel on a continuous twelve hourly shifts unabated.

All with resultant effects of impairing healthcare service quality (Trampuz & Widmer, 2004; Berry, 2004; Burke, 2003). The distinctiveness of this sector, removing at par measurement with other sectors service quality that focuses on customer perceptions and satisfaction, a significant part of research work normally centres around “want-services” for the most part, like entertainment, hotel and hospitality, fitness, transportation and telecommunication, media etc.; while less consideration is dedicated to the investigation of core services clients need yet do not want, like the medical care

It might likewise influence how much the patient acknowledges the "coproducer or visible " position important for a good result because healthcare service quality is conceived in a complex structure where a customer reluctance may affect service quality perceptions (Bendapudi & Leone, 2003). Obviously, healthcare service quality goes beyond the customer perception gap of what the researcher termed- “Parasuramanism or Taylorism” (SERVQUAL and SERVPERF fame); healthcare service quality could involve doctors or physicians responding on-demand to medical issues ranging from a very minor medical condition (common cold or headache or malaria) to the critical life-threatening medical emergency (a heart attack –myocardial infarction). Doctors should take care of their patients' both physical and feelings in an altruistic way paying less attention to not-so- ideal conditions dissimilar to healthcare services. Hospital patients are normally sick and under pressure and (in some cases) live in the detained at the hospital ward as In- Patient. In spite of the fact that they are consumers yet they are patients, accordingly serving a client who shows up with a blend of disease, agony, pain, vulnerability, and dread, dissimilar to service quality in other sectors, presents a remarkable test to specialists/consultants and other healthcare professionals (Raghunathan, Pham, & Corfman, 2006).

Although at times services at the hospital could be exceptional, the experience of hospitalization is probably going to intensify the intrinsic pressure that goes with sickness or excruciating pain. Nevertheless, hospitals are terrifying where patients go through operations and additionally get complicated clinical treatment (Cmiel et al., 2004). In addition, unlike other services, patients practically surrender their privacy and reveal intimate details about themselves, and this makes healthcare services inalienably totally personal yet not private. Other sectors services do not expect clients to surrender such an extensive amount of their privacy or to uncovered themselves actually and sincerely as is needed in the case when receiving healthcare services (Berry & Bendapudi, 2007).

These inherent peculiarities affect assessment and perceptions by a sick customer of service quality, and more so, he is no longer in control of his privacy vis-avis outcomes of care plans which could care to life or end of life. A dilemma or impasse of what is considered high service quality ensues. Based on this person and yet not private state, ill customer, risk exposed customer cognitive of service quality is affected in evaluating medical errors and mistakes or clinical negligence hidden in high credence of technical quality of healthcare. Handwritten prescriptions by physicians are also sources of mistakes in this sector, the consequences which at times could be fatal (Wachter & Shojania, 2004). No other services across business sectors carry sublime risks as in healthcare, its service quality measurement must patently, therefore, be different.

3.1 Conceptualisation of Healthcare Quality

Although healthcare is an essential service across nations of the world but the complexity of quality assessment remains an unresolved issue. Healthcare service quality is defined, according to the the Institute of Medicine (2001, p. 21), as ' how much the wellbeing or health status of people and the general populace the probability of wanted wellbeing results and are in consonance with the current experts" position and information. In another instance, a study by Palmer, Donabedian and Povar (1991) concurred that the quality in healthcare services was considered as ' the creation of improved wellbeing and fulfilment of a populace inside the imperatives of existing technological innovation, available resources, and patients' conditions (Palmer, Donabedian & Povar, 1991). Once more, Hult and Lukas (1995, p. 40) clarified the significance of healthcare services by recognizing 'clinical credence' in 'diagnosing and medicating to cure' and 'support credence', which is focused on creating 'happy experience' as a hallmark of hospital service'. They contend that while ' clinical credence' basically comprises of the specialized and clinical dimensions of wellbeing and healthcare, ' support credence ' encompasses a broader spectrum of activities from the gate marshal to hospital leadership which anchors overall corporate strategic management.

Lately, as a result of great strides of technological advancement in healthcare, there has been an exceptional change in accentuation from over-dependence on the ' medically sick ' as wellbeing or hospital patrons to the ' well individuals ' with expanding accentuation on preventive shift not to 'more medication but more education' inpatient care centred (PCC) healthcare and customer satisfaction services. The concept of healthcare has moved from the traditional or conventional practice in the developed world to smart healrhcare of internet of things (IoT), artificial intelligence, wearable devices, virtual consltations etc. (Tian et al., 2019). This becomes imperative given the upsurge of non-transferable infections like diabetes, hypertension, overweight, HIV etc. (Sajid & Baig, 2007; Thomas, 2011).

4. OVERVIEW OF GHANA HEALTH SERVICE AND PRIVATE HOSPITALS

In Ghana, formal health service delivery is delivered by four (4) teaching hospitals, nine (9) regional hospitals, three (3) psychiatric hospitals, three hundred and forty- three (343) district hospitals, over two hundred (2000) clinics, health centres and polyclinics. In terms of classification of ownership, there are one thousand, six hundred and seven 1607 government-owned facilities, ninety-one (91) quasi- government, two hundred and forty-five (245) mission and one thousand, two hundred and seventy-seven (1277) private-for-private facilities (Jehu-Appiah et al., 2014).

It is imperative that the level of customer satisfaction can be monitored and evaluated in order to raise the bar of quality of healthcare and evolve quality improvement mechanism and a kind of feedback to healthcare professionals and decision-makers in any healthcare facilities setting (Bara et al., 2002). At the heart of service quality is customer satisfaction, therefore the basic underlying construct of quality management for doing business regardless of the sector requires satisfaction of the customer and in healthcare patient and establishment of a system that promotes constant improvement of service delivery to fuel sustainable customer base expansion and growth (Kruger, 2001; Virmani, 2002; Scott, 2005). However, when imbalances set in, patient care outcome is impaired due to cognitive dissonance, which results in service quality gap.

It is important to note that customers of today are highly informed about the ubiquitous and pervasive internet (Evans, 2017). Customers are able to access first, detailed information about their medical condition prognosis before even a visit to a healthcare service provider. Therefore expectations and perceptions of service quality are high (Parasuraman et al., 1988). How then can the expectations and perceptions of customer satisfaction be met, because customers are really concerned about the competency and professional skill set of the providers to provide the desired health outcomes after the visit and at an affordable rate (Ramsaran-Fowdar, 2005)? Private healthcare providers can match or exceed expectations of the desired services by ensuring a valid model as a catalyst of excellent service quality barometer and measurement all the time. It is essentially important for Private healthcare providers to grasp and have a full understanding of what service quality is all about and not just some ambiguous conceptions. Crosby (1979) conceptualized quality as having zero defects, while Juran (1980) submitted that quality as conformance to requirement and Garvin (1983) concluded that quality could be measured by counting internal and external failures.

4.1 Related Research: Generic Service Quality (GSQ)

Service quality is a fundamental concept of patients perception and establishes the prevailing aspect of purchasers ' assessment regarding unadulterated services like medical care (Zeithaml, Bitner & Gremler, 2009). In medical services, particular attributes like immaterialness, in-distinguishability, heterogeneity and perishability complicate the measurement of service quality in the sector (Parasuraman et al.,1985). In addition, Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (1988) defined service quality as an engaged assessment that reflects patient's impression of unwavering quality, affirmation, responsiveness, compassion and physical structures or tangibles. These measurements address how consumers appraise the essence of service quality to them.

The SERVQUAL scale used to gauge service quality was initially evolved by Parasuraman et al. (1985) utilizing the gap model, which evaluated service quality as a contrast between patient's expectations and perceptions of service quality. The resultant net difference in perception and expectation scores decide the degree of satisfaction receives by a patient. The SERVQUAL instrument was later modified to expand its psychometric strength and down to earth application (Parasuraman et al.,1988), finishing in a five-dimensional scale, in particular physical assets or facility structures(tangibles),dependability (reliability), responsiveness,

reassurance(assurance) and compassion-empathy (TERRA) (Parasuraman, 1988; Zeithaml et al., 2009). There has been a multiplicity of investigations and in-depth studies into service quality with fluctuated conceptualizations looking to build up an enduring estimation structure to survey service quality and its prescient legitimacy. While researchers keep on discussing the significance of service quality, the overall agreement is that service quality is a multidimensional construct (Brady & Cronin, 2001; Dagger & Sweeney, 2007). The SERVQUAL scale is the most broadly utilized service quality estimation instrument today on account of its apparent reasonableness (Ramsaran-Fowdar, 2008; Cengiz & Fidan, 2017).

According to Ladhari (2009), SERVQUAL has acquired unmistakable quality in service quality writing as it has been tried across a wide scope of service settings and discovered to be by and large dependable. Its central case to adaptability and all- inclusiveness obtained from the serious level of flexibility of its primary dimensionalities, taking into account the simplicity of customization of its variables to suit different service sectors and relevant circumstances. Buttle (1996) hailed the ideals of the SERVQUAL model for its overall agreeableness as a norm for surveying service quality, its legitimacy and unwavering dependability quality for an assortment of service circumstances, its miserliness because of the predetermined number of constructs

working with speedy reaction and finally its utility as far as the tested procedures to aid valid investigation and translation of reliable results.

Notwithstanding, pundits of the perceptions-expectations gap model of the first SERVQUAL scale contend that there are applied difficulties in defining what precisely is implied by expectation given the number of potential degrees of expectations and that, preferably, expectations ought to be estimated before services are consumed (Carman, 1990; Cronin & Taylor, 1992). They further contended that the utilization of expectations as a construct for measurement lessens the discriminant legitimacy and content validity as well. Consequently, they supported a perception as a construct of measurement only, and this is generally referred to as the SERVPERF model. With regards to this, we assessed patients' perception was considered as it were.

4.2 SERVQUAL Critiques And Their Justification

The constructs may not flow seamlessly as Parasuraman has listed the variables in his work, but these dimensions can be grouped into two groups of core clinical services and support services (McDougall & Levesque, 1994). There are also arguments that the generic conceptualization of servqual dimensions makes it inappropriate to be fully integrated without modifications (Carman, 1990). There are others that see SERVQUAL dimensions as focusing on measuring Expectations (Servexp.) rather than assessing attitudes (Cronin & Taylor, 1992). The Critics continue to argue that a performance-based measure is better suited for analyzing service quality because it is premised on consumer's attitude (Sureshchandar et al., 2001). The perception of the intangibility of service has also emboldened many researchers to conclude that customer satisfaction is the resultant effects of efficient service performance (servperf) (Patterson et al., 1997; Sharma & Ojha, 2004).

However, despite the observed grey areas of Servqual, the general cross-sectorial appeal of servqual constructs has kept it as a preferred model of service quality. Asubonteng et al. (1996) posited that "SERVQUAL is popular with managers because it combines ease of application and flexibility with a clear and uninvolved theory". Other advantages (Mensah et al., 2014) of SERVQUAL as a standard model globally. Its pervasiveness in evaluating service quality across industries confirms the preeminent position of SERVQUAL. Such industries include hotels, travel agencies, higher education, real estates, accountancy, architecture, construction services, Public and private healthcare, dentistry, Service call centres of Telecoms (Foster, 2001). Other sectors include: universities (Galloway, 1998), police services (Donnelly et al., 2006), banking (Kangis & Passa, 1997), travel agencies (Luk, 1997) and public utilities (Babakus & Boller, 1992).

The simplicity of the dimensions is customer-friendly to quickly fill the questionnaire associated with it. SERVQUAL Can be used in assessing different service dimensions of service; It has a standard analysis to aid interpretation of results. Empirical evidence has also shown that Its validity is equivocal across several service situations and peer-reviewed researched papers attested to it. However, Mensah et al. (2014) and Taylor and Cronin (1994) gave the caveat that to generally apply SERVQUAL might be very challenging. Therefore private healthcare managers should modify SERVQUAL to reflect their peculiar environment. Therefore, some researchers, including the originator –Parasuraman, concluded that the SERVQUAL model should be tailored in adaptation to be sector or industry or culture-specific (Parasuraman et al., 1991a), and in this context, the private healthcare sector Ghana provides the boundary investigation in this study.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the globalized world of today, private healthcare is totally indispensable to the socio-economic development of any nation, Ghana not being an exemption. According to the Ghana Health Service (2017), private healthcare accounted for about half of the 2897 healthcare facilities in Ghana. This means the sector is evolving to highly competitive and major economic contributors in the value chain of the healthcare delivery system in Ghana. A general belief or perception is that private hospitals are providers of more efficient and quality healthcare services (Bhatta, 2001). The differences may be premised on the disparity between the level of incentives in employee compensations, better biomedical equipment, well-researched market penetration strategies and business-focused organizational structures (Zeppou & Sotirakou, 2003).

In this paper, we reviewed concepts, antecedents and associated literature related to healthcare service quality gaps with a focus on Ghana. Our research outlined efforts in the domain of healthcare service quality and the generic concept of general service quality were highlighted. Future work will examine theoretical and conceptual frameworks that can be employed and engaged to provide an understanding of the challenges faced in the domain of discuss and provide solutions to same .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Adams, E. K., & Wright, G. E. (1991). Hospital choice of Medicare beneficiaries in a rural market: why not the closest. *The Journal of Rural Health*, 7(2), 134-152.
2. Aghamolaei T., Zare Sh., Kebriaei A., Poudat A.. Quality gap in primary healthcare services in Bandar Abbas: women's perspective. *Payesh*. 2008; 7 (2) URL: <http://payeshjournal.ir/article-1-664-en.html>
3. Ahmed, A., Allman, R. M., Kiefe, C. I., Person, S. D., Shaneyfelt, T. M., Sims, R. V.,
4. ... & DeLong, J. F. (2003). Association of consultation between generalists and cardiologists with quality and outcomes of heart failure care. *American Heart Journal*, 145(6), 1086-1093.
5. Akram W, Khan RM. (2016) Determinants of patient satisfaction with health services at public and private hospital in Gujrat, Pakistan. *Int J. Econ. Empi. Res*.
6. Andreassen, T. W., & Lindestad, B. (1998). The effect of corporate image in the formation of customer loyalty. *Journal of Service Research*, 1(1), 82-92.
7. Arasli, H., Ekiz, E. H., & Katircioglu, S. T. (2008). Gearing service quality into public and private hospitals in small islands. *International journal of healthcare quality assurance*.
8. Asubonteng P., McCleary K.J., and Swan J.E., (1996), SERVQUAL revisited: a critical review of service quality *The journal of services marketing*, VOL. 10 NO. 6 , pp. 62-81 © MCB university press, 0887-6045
9. Atinga R.A., Abekah-Nkrumah G., Domfeh K.A, (2011), Managing healthcare quality in Ghana: a necessity of patient satisfaction, *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, Vol. 24 Iss 7 pp. 548 – 563
10. Babakus, E. and Mangold, W.G. (1992), Adapting the SERVQUAL scale to hospital services: an empirical investigation, *Health Services Research*, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp. 767-88.
11. Baker, J., Grewal, D., & Parasuraman, A. (1994). The influence of store environment on quality inferences and store image. *Journal of the Academy Of Marketing Science*, 22(4), 328-339.
12. Bakar, C., Akgu ĩn, S. and Al Assaf, A.F. (2008), The role of expectations in patients' hospital assessments: a Turkish university hospital example, *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, Vol. 21 No. 5, pp. 503-16.

13. Baru, R.V., Qadeer I., and Priya R.(2000), Medical Industry: Gap of Quality at What Cost?, Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 35, No. 28/29, pp. 2509-2511
14. Bhatta, G. (2001), Corporate governance and public management in post-crisis Asia,
15. Asian Journal of Public Administration, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp. 1-32
16. Bitner, M. J. (1992). Servicescapes: The impact of physical surroundings on customers and employees. *Journal of Marketing*, 56(2), 57-71.
17. Burke, R and Christensen, L. B.(2015), Educational Research: Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Approaches, Sage publications, 4th Edition
18. Brady, M. K., & Cronin Jr, J. J. (2001). Some new thoughts on conceptualizing perceived service quality: a hierarchical approach. *Journal of Marketing*, 65(3), 34-49.
19. Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, 77-101
20. Brook, R. H., McGlynn, E. A., & Cleary, P. D(1996). Measuring quality of care. *Journal of Service Research*, Volume 10, No. 2, November 2007 111-122, DOI: 10.1177/1094670507306682
21. Camacho, F., Anderson, R., Safrit, A., Jones, A. S., & Hoffmann, P. (2006). The relationship between patient's perceived waiting time and office-based practice satisfaction. *North Carolina Medical Journal*, 67(6), 409-413.
22. Carcamo C.R,(2009) Perceived quality: illusion or perception, SECA. Published by Elsevier Espana DOI: 10.1016/j.cali.2010.12.003
23. Carson P and Carson K et al (1997), Balance theory applied to service quality: a focus on the organization, provider, and consumer triad, *Journal of business and psychology*,Volume 12,no. 2,
24. Chakraborti, C., Boonyasai, R. T., Wright, S. M., & Kern, D. E. (2008). A systematic review of teamwork training interventions in medical student and resident education. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 23(6), 846-853.
25. Chang, S. J., Van Witteloostuijn, A., & Eden, L. (2010). From the editors: Common method variance in international business research.
26. Christakis, N. A., & Fowler, J. H. (2007). The spread of obesity in a large social network over 32 years. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 357(4), 370-379.
27. Coffey, L. C., Skipper Jr, J. K., & Jung, F. D. (1988). Nurses and shift work: effects on job performance and job-related stress. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 13(2), 245-254.
28. Comrey, A. L., & Lee, H. B. (2013). A first course in factor analysis. Psychology Press.
29. Costello, A. B., & Osborne, J. W. (2005). Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: Four recommendations for getting the most from your analysis. *Practical assessment, research & evaluation*, 10(1), 7.
30. Cronin, J. and Taylor, A. (1992), "Measuring service quality: a re-examination and extension", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 56, pp. 55-68.
31. Crosby, P. (1979),*Quality Is Free*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.
32. Cronin, J. J., Jr., & Taylor, S. A. (1992). Measuring service quality: A reexamination and extension. *Journal of Marketing*, 56(3), 55-68.
33. Cronin, J. J. Jr., & Taylor, S. A. (1994). SERVPERF versus SERVQUAL: Reconciling performance-based and perceptions-minus-expectations measurement of service quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(1), 125-131.
34. Czepiel, J. A. (1990). Managing relationships with customers: A differentiating philosophy of marketing. In D. E. Bowen, R. B. Chase, T. G. Cummings, & Associates (Eds.), *Service management effectiveness: Balancing strategy, organization and human resources, operations, and marketing*: 299-323. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
36. Dagger T S, Sweeney J C & Johnson L.W (2007) . A Hierarchical Model of Health Service Quality Scale Development and Investigation of an Integrated Model, *Journal of Service Research*, Volume 10, No. 2, 123-142.

37. De Silva, M. J., McKenzie, K., Harpham, T., & Huttly, S. R. (2005). Social capital and mental illness: a systematic review. *Journal of epidemiology & community health*, 59(8), 619-627.
38. DeCarlo, L. T. (1997). On the meaning and use of kurtosis. *Psychological Methods*, 2(3), 292-307.
39. DiStefano, C., Zhu, M., & Mindrila, D. (2009). Understanding and using factor scores: Considerations for the applied researcher. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 14(20), 1-11.
40. Donabedian, A. (1988). Quality assessment and assurance: unity of purpose, diversity of means. *Inquiry*, 173-192.
41. Dorros, G. L. (2006). Building management capacity to rapidly scale up health services and outcomes. Geneva: World Health Organization.
42. Etzkowitz Henry (2003). Research groups as 'quasi-firms': the invention of the entrepreneurial university. Science Direct.
43. Field, A. (2017). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics: North American edition*. Sage Publications.
44. Fisk, Raymond F., Stephen W. Brown, Mary Jo Bitner (1993), "Teaching the Evolution of Services Marketing Literature," *Journal of Retailing*, 69 (1), 61-103.
45. Fitzpatrick, J. M., While, A. E., & Roberts, J. D. (1999). Shift work and its impact upon nurse performance: current knowledge and research issues. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 29(1), 18-27.
46. Fottler, M. D., Ford, R. C., Roberts, V., & Ford, E. W. (2000). Creating a healing environment: The importance of the service setting in the new consumer- oriented healthcare system. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, 45(2), 91- 106.
47. Fornell, Claes and D.F. Larcker (1981), "Evaluation Structural Equations Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18 (February), 39-50.
48. Frost, Frederick A. and Mukesh Kumar (2000), "INTSERVQUAL: An Internal Adaptation of the GAP Model in a Large Service Organisation," *Journal of Services Marketing*, 14 (5), 358-77.
49. George, D., & Mallery, P. (2018). *IBM SPSS Statistics 25 Step by Step*.
50. Glesne, C., & Peshkin, A. (1992). *Becoming qualitative researchers*. White Plains, NY.
51. Goldsteen, R. L., Counte, M. A., & Goldsteen, K. (1994). Examining the relationship between health locus of control and the use of medical care services. *Journal of Aging and Health*, 6(3), 314-335.
52. Grönroos, C. (1984). A service quality model and its marketing implications. *European Journal of marketing*.
53. Grönroos, C., & Voima, P. (2013). Critical service logic: making sense of value creation and co-creation. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 41(2), 133-150.
54. Garvin, D. (1983), "Quality on the line", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 61, pp. 65- 73.
55. Gaither, N., & Frazier, G. (1999). *Production and operational management (8th ed.)*. Cincinnati, OH: South-Western College Publishing.
56. Gerbing, David W. and James C. Anderson (1992), "Monte Carlo Evaluations of Goodness of Fit Indices for Structural Equation Models," *Sociological Methods and Research*, 21 (2), 132
57. Ghana Health Service (2017), *Annual report and Ghana health Service Provision Assessment Survey*, Accra.
58. Grönroos, Christian (1982), *Strategic Management and Marketing in the Service Sector*.
59. Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Babin, B. J., & Black, W. C. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis: A global perspective (Vol. 7): Pearson Upper Saddle River*.
- 60.
- 61.
- 62.

63. Hamilton, B. H., Hamilton, V. H., & Mayo, N. E. (1996). What are the costs of queuing for hip fracture surgery in Canada? *Journal of Health Economics*, 15(2), 161- 185.
64. Hayton, J. C., Allen, D. G., & Scarpello, V. (2004). Factor retention decisions in exploratory factor analysis: A tutorial on parallel analysis. *Organizational research methods*, 7(2), 191-205. Helsingfors: Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration.
65. Heider, F. (1958). *The psychology of interpersonal relations*. New York: Wiley.
66. Hoel, M., & Sæther, E. M. (2003). Public healthcare with waiting time: the role of supplementary private healthcare. *Journal of Health Economics*, 22(4), 599- 616.
67. Hofer, T. P., Bernstein, S. J., Hayward, R. A., & DeMonner, S. (1997). Validating quality indicators for hospital care. *The Joint Commission Journal on Quality Improvement*, 23(9), 455-467.
68. Hruschka, D. J., Brewis, A. A., Wutich, A., & Morin, B. (2011). Shared norms and their explanation for the social clustering of obesity. *American Journal of Public Health*, 101(S1), S295-S300.
69. Intellectus Statistics [Online computer software]. (2020). Intellectus Statistics. <https://analyze.intellectusstatistics.com/>
70. Intellectus Statistics [Online computer software]. (2020). Intellectus Statistics. <https://analyze.intellectusstatistics.com/>
71. Jarvis, B.C., Mackenzie, B.S. and Podsakoff, M.P. (2003), A critical review of construct indicators and measurement model misspecification in marketing and consumer research, *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 30, pp. 198-218
72. Kawachi, I., & Berkman, L. (2000). Social cohesion, social capital, and health. *Social epidemiology*, 174(7).
73. Keinan A. S. & Karugu, J. (2018). Total quality management practices and performance of manufacturing firms in Kenya: Case of Bamburi Cement Limited. *International Academic Journal of Human Resource and Business Administration*, 3(1), 81-99
74. Khaldi, K. (2017). Quantitative, Qualitative or Mixed Research: Which Research Paradigm to Use?. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 7(2), 15.
Retrieved from <https://www.richtmann.org/journal/index.php/jesr/article/view/9915>
75. Koteswara Rao Kondasani, Rajeev Kumar Panda, (2015) "Customer perceived service quality, satisfaction and loyalty in Indian private healthcare", *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, Vol. 28 Issue: 5, pp.452-467, <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJHCQA-01-2015-0008> Permanent link to this document.
76. Kotler, P. (1973). Atmospherics as a marketing tool. *Journal of Retailing*, 49(4), 48- 64.
77. Kwateng O, and Lumor R et al (2017): Service quality in public and private hospitals: A comparative study on patient satisfaction, *International Journal of Healthcare Management*, DOI: 10.1080/20479700.2017.1390183
78. Lai, F., Griffin, M., & Babin, B. J. (2009). How quality, value, image, and satisfaction create loyalty at a Chinese telecom. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(10), 980- 986.
79. Ledesma, R. D., & Valero-Mora, P. (2007). Determining the number of factors to retain in EFA: An easy-to-use computer program for carrying out parallel analysis. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 12(2), 1-11.
80. Lewis, R.C. and Booms, B.H. (1983), "The marketing aspects of service quality", in Berry, L.L., Shostack, G.L. and Upah, G. (Eds), *Emerging Perspectives in Services Marketing*, American Marketing Association, Chicago, IL.
81. Lindell, M. K., & Whitney, D. J. (2001). Accounting for common method variance in cross-sectional research designs. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(1), 114.
82. Liu, Y., & Jang, S. S. (2009). The effects of dining atmospherics: An extended Mehrabian–Russell model. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 28(4), 494-503.

83. Lovelock C, Wright L.(2001), Principles of service marketing and management. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
84. Malhotra, N. K., Schaller, T. K., & Patil, A. (2017). Common method variance in advertising research: When to be concerned and how to control for it. *Journal of Advertising*, 46(1), 193-212.
85. Martin, S., & Smith, P. C. (1999). Rationing by waiting lists: an empirical investigation. *Journal of Public Economics*, 71(1), 141-164.
86. McGinnis, J. M., Stuckhardt, L., Saunders, R., & Smith, M. (Eds.). (2013). Best care at lower cost: the path to continuously learning healthcare in America.
87. McQueen, R. A., & Knussen, C. (2002). Research methods for social science: A practical introduction. Pearson Education.
88. Menard, S. (2009). Logistic regression: From introductory to advanced concepts and applications. Sage Publications.
89. Meyer, G. S., & Massagli, M. P. (2001). The forgotten component of the quality triad: can we still learn something from "structure"? *The Joint Commission journal on quality improvement*, 27(9), 484-493.
90. Montanelli, R. G., & Humphreys, L. G. (1976). Latent roots of random data correlation matrices with squared multiple correlations on the diagonal: A Monte Carlo study. *Psychometrika*, 41(3), 341-348.
91. Newcomb, T. M. (1968). Interpersonal balance. *Theories of cognitive consistency*.
92. Chicago: Rand McNally.
93. OECD (2012). Paris: OECD; OECD environmental outlook to 2050: Consequences of inaction.
94. Osborne, J. W., & Costello, A. B. (2004). Sample size and subject to item ratio in principal components analysis. *Practical assessment, research & evaluation*, 9(11), 8.
95. Osborne, J., & Waters, E. (2002). Four assumptions of multiple regression that researchers should always test. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 8(2), 1-9.
96. Patino, C. M., & Ferreira, J. C. (2018). Inclusion and exclusion criteria in research studies: definitions and why they matter. *Jornal Brasileiro de Pneumologia*, 44(2), 84-84.
97. Patterson, P. G., & Spreng, R. A. (1997). Modelling the relationship between perceived value, satisfaction and repurchase intentions in a business-to-business, services context: an empirical examination. *International Journal of service Industry management*.
98. Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V.A. and Berry, L.L. (1985), A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 49, pp. 41-50.
99. Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V.A. and Berry, L.L. (1988), SERVQUAL: a multiple item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality, *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 64 No. 1, pp. 12-40.
100. Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V.A. and Berry, L.L. (1991a), Refinement and reassessment of the SERVQUAL scale, *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 67 No. 4, pp. 420-50.
101. Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. and Berry, L.L. (1991b), Understanding customer expectations of service, *Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 32 No. 3, pp. 39-48.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1994). Reassessment of expectations as a comparison standard in measuring service quality: Implications for further research. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(1), 111-124.
102. Peter, P.J., Churchill, G.A. (1986), "Relationships among research design choices and psychometric properties of rating scales: a meta-analysis", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 23, February, pp. 1-10
103. Podsakoff, P. M., & Organ, D. W. (1986). Self-reports in organizational research: Problems and prospects. *Journal of Management*, 12(4), 531-544.
- 104.

105. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of method bias in social science research and recommendations on how to control it. *Ann Review of Psychology*, 63, 539-569.
106. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of method bias in social science research and recommendations on how to control it. *Annual review of psychology*, 63, 539-569.
107. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879.
108. Porter, M. E., & Lee, T. H. (2013). The strategy that will fix healthcare. *Harvard Business Review*, 91(12), 24-24.
109. Ramsaran-Fowdar, R.R. (2005), "Identifying healthcare quality attributes", *Journal of Health and Human Service Administration*, Vol. 27 No. 4, Spring, pp. 428-43.
110. Reddy, B. K., & Reddy, J. S. (2012). Atmospherics: A silent sales person in organized retailing. *International Journal of Sales, Retailing and Marketing*, 1(1), 23-29.
111. Richardson, H. A., Simmering, M. J., & Sturman, M. C. (2009). A tale of three perspectives: Examining post hoc statistical techniques for detection and correction of common method variance. *Organizational Research Methods*, 12(4), 762-800.
112. Ryu, K., Han, H., & Kim, T. H. (2008). The relationships among overall quick-casual restaurant image, perceived value, customer satisfaction, and behavioral intentions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 27(3), 459-469.
113. Ryu, K., Lee, H. R., & Kim, W. G. (2012). The influence of the quality of the physical environment, food, and service on restaurant image, customer perceived value, customer satisfaction, and behavioral intentions. *International journal of contemporary hospitality management*.
114. Salas, E., DiazGranados, D., Klein, C., Burke, C. S., Stagl, K. C., Goodwin, G. F., & Halpin, S. M. (2008). Does team training improve team performance? A meta-analysis. *Human factors*, 50(6), 903-933.
115. Sehgal, N. L., Green, A., Vidyarthi, A. R., Blegen, M. A., & Wachter, R. M. (2010). Patient whiteboards as a communication tool in the hospital setting: a survey of practices and recommendations. *Journal of Hospital Medicine*, 5(4), 234- 239.
116. Scazzero, J. A., & Longenecker, C. O. (1991). The Gap Of Quality: Controlling Subjective Inspection. *Journal of Applied Business Research (JABR)*, 7(1), 52- 56.
117. Schneider, B., & Bowen, D. E. (1985). Employee and customer perceptions of service banks: Replication and extension. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 70, 423-433.
118. Schneider, B., & Bowen, D. E. (Spring, 1993). The service organization: Human resources management is crucial. *Organizational Dynamics*, 21, 39-52.
119. Silverman, D. (2013). *Doing qualitative research: A practical handbook*. Sage.
120. Soteriou A.C, & Stavrinides Y, (2000). An internal customer service quality data envelopment analysis model for bank branches", *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 18 Iss 5 pp.246-252
121. Spector, P. E., Rosen, C. C., Richardson, H. A., Williams, L. J., & Johnson, R. E. (2019). A new perspective on method variance: A measure-centric approach. *Journal of Management*, 45(3), 855-880.
122. Stewart, A. L., & Ware, J. E. (Eds.). (1992). *Measuring functioning and well-being: the medical outcomes study approach*. Duke University Press.
123. Tabachnick, B. G. & Fidell, L. S., (2019). *Using multivariate statistics*. Pearson Education.
124. Tian S, Yang w, Ye Z, (2019), Smart healthcare: Making medical care more intelligent. *Global Health journal*, DOI.10.1016/i.glohj.2019.07.001

125. Thomas, R. M. (2003). Blending qualitative and quantitative research methods in theses and dissertations. Corwin Press.
126. Taner T, Antony J. (2006) Comparing public and private hospital care service quality in Turkey. *Leadersh Health Serv.*
127. Tenner, A. R., & DeToro, I. J. (1992). Total quality management: Three steps to continuous improvement. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
128. Visschers, V. H., & Siegrist, M. (2015). Does better for the environment mean less tasty? Offering more climate-friendly meals is good for the environment and customer satisfaction. *Appetite*, 95, 475-483.
129. Westfall, P. H., & Henning, K. S. S. (2013). Texts in statistical science: Understanding advanced statistical methods. Taylor & Francis.
130. Williams, L. J., Cote, J. A., & Buckley, M. R. (1989). Lack of method variance in self-reported affect and perceptions at work: reality or artifact?. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 74(3), 462.
131. Williams, L. J., Hartman, N., & Cavazotte, F. (2010). Method variance and marker variables: A review and comprehensive CFA marker technique. *Organizational Research Methods*, 13(3), 477-514.
132. Willis, J. W., Jost, M., & Nilakanta, R. (2007). Foundations of qualitative research: Interpretive and critical approaches. Sage.
133. World Health Organization. (2014). Ghana country assessment report on ageing and health. Accra.
134. Yin, R. K. (2014). Case study research: design and methods. Fifth edit. United States of America.
135. Yoo, W., Mayberry, R., Bae, S., Singh, K., He, Q. P., & Lillard Jr, J. W. (2014). A study of effects of multicollinearity in the multivariable analysis. *International Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 4(5), 9.
136. Zeithaml, V. A. (1981). How consumer evaluation processes differ between goods and services. In J. H. Donnelly & W. R. George (Eds.), *Marketing of services*: 186- 190. Chicago: American Marketing Association.
137. Zeithaml, V. A., Parasuraman, A., & Berry, L. L. (1990). Delivering quality `service. New York: The Free Press.