Exploring the Roles of Robotics in Shaping Society 5.0: Challenges, Opportunities and Ethical Implications

[1]Ogbaga, Ignatius Nwoyibe; [2]Ezeanya, Christiana Uchenna; [3]Igboji Kingsley Otubo & [4]Nwafor Chidinma Anulika
[2]Department of Computer Science, National Open University of Nigeria
[1,3&4]Department of Computer Science, International Institute for Artificial Intelligence, Robotics and Machine Learning David Umahi Federal University of Health Sciences, Uburu, Ebonyi State
E-mails: ogbagain@dufuhs.edu.ng; cezeanya@noun.edu.ng; igbojiko@dufuhs.edu.ng; nwaforca@dufuhs.edu.ng
Phone: +2347066663858; +2348030812977; +2348035960685; +2348036678136

ABSTRACT

This study examines the role of robotics in advancing Society 5.0, a model that integrates advanced digital technologies to address societal challenges and promote sustainable growth. Using an exploratory research design, data were gathered via exploratory review, concentrating on robotics applications in healthcare, urban development and environmental sustainability. Relevant literature was retrieved from PubMed and Google Scholar, with a final selection of 15 out of 156 peer-reviewed papers published between 2016 and 2024. In data analysis, we adopted qualitative techniques, managed with NVivo software, to identify the challenges, opportunities and ethical considerations regarding robotics integration. The results revealed that robotics provides enormous opportunities for Society 5.0 by enhancing healthcare services, supporting smart city infrastructure, and sustainable practices. Moreover, this study also identified significant challenges, which include: technical limitations, societal acceptance issues, economic constraints, and ethical concerns around privacy and data security. This study therefore underscores the potential of robotics in shaping a resilient and adaptable Society 5.0, with the aid of a regulatory framework and interdisciplinary collaboration.

Keywords: Society 5.0, Robotics, artificial intelligence, Chabot, Smart cities

CISDI Journal Reference Format
Ogbaga, Ignatius Nwoyibe; Ezeanya, Christiana Uchenna; Igboji, Kingsley Otubo & Nwafor, Chidinma Anulika (2025): Exploring the Roles of Robotics in Shaping Society 5.0: Challenges, Opportunities and Ethical Implications Computing, Information Systems, Development Informatics & Allied Research Journal. Vol 15 No 4, Pp 27-34.
Available online at www.isteams.net/cisdijournal. dx.doi.org/10.22624/AIMS/CISDI/V16N1P4

1. BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY

Society 5.0 represents a forward-looking model, incorporating state-of-the-art digital technologies into every aspect of life to improve societal welfare, address challenges, and support resilient growth. Originally conceptualized in Japan, this vision builds upon previous societal stages, beginning with hunter-gatherers (Society 1.0) to industrial and information societies (Societies 3.0 and 4.0, respectively). By combining physical spaces with digital networks, Society 5.0 seeks to foster environments where data and technology support high-quality, efficient, and adaptable ways of living (Fukuyama, 2018). The idea focuses on how technological progress should benefit society at large, with innovations such as artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), big data, and robotics serving as core drivers for improvements in healthcare, public infrastructure, and environmental sustainability (Deguchi et al., 2020).

Robotics, specifically, is seen as instrumental within this framework due to its versatile applications and transformative potential across multiple fields. For example, robots are beginning to reshape elder care, remote health monitoring, and even surgical procedures in healthcare. These advancements are aimed at enhancing medical care accessibility and precision while alleviating the strain on human caregivers, which is critical in ageing societies (Li, 2021). Through such applications, robotics allows for more personalized healthcare delivery, improved patient outcomes, and support for less-equipped medical facilities, as highlighted by Li et al. (2021) in their study on robotics in medical care. The application of robotics in these areas demonstrates its potential for technology to close the gaps in essential services; thereby improving both quality and accessibility.

In urban development, robotics promotes the idea of "smart cities," where automated systems are embedded into city infrastructure to enhance public safety, mobility, and environmental monitoring. Autonomous transport systems, for example, can improve traffic flow and reduce accidents, while service robots assist in maintaining public spaces and performing surveillance tasks. These innovations contribute to urban areas that are safer, more sustainable, and responsive to the needs of their populations (Nakanishi et al., 2022). As these technologies become more prevalent, robotics in smart city ecosystems is expected to play a significant role in reducing energy consumption, managing resources efficiently, and minimizing human impact on the environment, aligning urban life with Society 5.0's sustainability goals.

Despite its promising applications, the integration of robotics in Society 5.0 also raises ethical and social concerns. These include issues related to privacy, data security, and potential job displacement due to automation (Vinuesa et al., 2020). Addressing these challenges requires a thoughtful approach to policy and regulation that prioritizes human values and societal needs, ensuring that technology serves as a complement rather than a replacement for human roles. As Society 5.0 continues to evolve, ongoing research and interdisciplinary collaboration will be essential to balancing the benefits of robotics with ethical considerations, aiming for a future that is technologically advanced but rooted in human-centred values. The purpose of this study, therefore, is to explore how robotics can be explored to promote Society 5.0, examining the opportunities it offers, the challenges it presents, and the ethical implications of its integration within key societal sectors.

2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Robotics has played a significant role in societal advancements since its inception, initially transforming the manufacturing and industrial sectors. In the early stages, robots were primarily used for repetitive tasks in controlled environments, offering high precision and efficiency in fields like automotive manufacturing. However, with advances in AI and machine learning, robotics has grown from simple automation to complex systems capable of autonomous decision-making. The limitations of these capabilities ought to be understood to avoid misuse/abuse, most especially in the era of Society 5.0

3. OBJECTIVE.

Therefore, this research aims to explore how robotics can be used to advance Society 5.0, looking at the advantages, disadvantages, and moral justification of integrating it into critical societal areas.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 The Research Design

This study employed an exploratory research design to examine the role of robotics in shaping Society 5.0, focusing on its challenges, opportunities, and ethical implications. The exploratory nature of this study allowed for a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted interactions between robotics and various societal dimensions. This design incorporated both qualitative and quantitative research components, ensuring a holistic view of the topic. Qualitative methods were used to gather in-depth insights from experts in robotics, ethics, and social sciences.

4.2 Data Collection

An exploratory review was used to gather data for this investigation. The databases that we used to obtain our materials were Google Scholar and PubMed. Literature about robotics and Society 5.0 was the main focus of our search. To include the most recent developments, theoretical models, and empirical research about the incorporation of robotics into diverse societal contexts, the review covered publications from 2016 to 2024. Based on certain inclusion criteria, such as quality of research, relevance to the research topic, and contributions to the understanding of robotics in Society 5.0, 156 papers in total were screened. 15 papers were chosen for in-depth analysis and synthesis to support the research findings following a rigorous evaluation. We used a qualitative approach to thoroughly determine the themes, challenges, and potential solutions regarding the role of robotics in Society 5.0.

5.0 DATA ANALYSIS

To facilitate the data analysis process, qualitative data was managed and analyzed using NVivo, a specialized software for qualitative and mixed-methods research. This multifaceted approach allowed for a robust examination of the data, ensuring that various perspectives and insights were captured.

1. Challenges in Implementing Robotics for Society 5.0

There are three major challenges with the implementation of Robotics in Society 5.0. which need to be addressed to guarantee efficient operation. These challenges include:

i. **Technical and Infrastructure Barriers:** Implementing robotics within the framework of Society 5.0 presents several technical and infrastructure challenges that must be addressed to realize its full potential. One of the primary issues is scalability; while robotics technology has advanced significantly, deploying these systems across sectors like healthcare, transportation, and urban management requires a robust and adaptable infrastructure (Riazi et al., 2021). Many existing systems may not be compatible with new robotic technologies, necessitating significant upgrades or complete overhauls of current frameworks. Additionally, reliability is a critical concern; robotic systems must function flawlessly in diverse environments where failure can lead to severe consequences, particularly in high-stakes sectors such as healthcare and public safety. Ensuring that these systems are resilient and can perform reliably under various conditions is crucial for gaining public trust and acceptance.

   Infrastructure requirements also play a crucial role in the successful implementation of robotics in Society 5.0. Advanced robotics often depend on high-speed internet connectivity and robust data processing capabilities, which may not be uniformly accessible, particularly in rural or underdeveloped regions (Kumar & Liu, 2022). While urban areas tend to have better access to these technologies, regional disparities may result in uneven benefits from robotic advancements, potentially exacerbating inequalities. This need for substantial infrastructure development to ensure equitable access underscores significant economic and logistical barriers that can hinder widespread robotic adoption. These infrastructure demands further raise questions about the allocation of resources and the prioritization of development projects, making it an essential yet challenging consideration for the implementation of robotics within Society 5.0.

ii. **Societal Acceptance and Workforce Impact:** Societal acceptance of robotics is a significant challenge in the effort to integrate these technologies into Society 5.0. Public perception often oscillates between optimism and apprehension regarding robotics and automation. While some view robotics as a means to enhance productivity and improve quality of life, others express concerns about the implications for personal privacy, safety, and the potential loss of human interaction, particularly in sectors such as healthcare and elder care (Lin et al., 2016). Addressing these concerns requires transparent communication and education on the benefits and limitations of robotic systems, emphasizing their roles in augmenting rather than replacing human capabilities.

Moreover, the impact of robotics on the workforce presents additional challenges. The automation of tasks traditionally performed by humans raises legitimate concerns about job displacement, particularly for lower-skilled positions (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). While robotics can create new job opportunities in fields like technology and maintenance, the transition may not be smooth for all workers, especially those with limited access to retraining resources. Upskilling and reskilling initiatives are essential to prepare the workforce for these changes, but they require substantial time, investment, and collaboration between educational institutions, governments, and industries. Without coordinated efforts, the shift toward robotics may lead to social disruption and exacerbate existing inequalities within the labour market (Ford, 2015).

iii. **Economic Constraints**: Economic constraints are a significant hurdle in the development and deployment of robotics within Society 5.0. The costs associated with creating, implementing, and maintaining robotic systems can be prohibitively high, especially for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and organizations in developing regions. Investment in research and development is essential for advancing robotic technologies; however, securing funding can be challenging. The high initial investment required for robotics often deters organizations from adopting these systems, particularly when the return on investment may not be immediately apparent (Sparrow & Howard, 2021; Huang & Rust, 2018).

Moreover, the ongoing maintenance and operational costs of robotics complicate their economic feasibility. Many robotic systems require specialized personnel for operation and maintenance, which adds substantially to the financial burden on organizations. This need for specialized talent further limits adoption, particularly among companies that may already struggle with resource constraints (Huang & Rust, 2018; West, 2018). Additionally, this economic disparity can lead to unequal access to robotics technologies, where only well-funded entities can afford to implement advanced systems, leaving smaller players at a disadvantage.

Addressing these economic constraints will require innovative funding models, partnerships, and supportive policies to encourage investment in robotics. Public-private partnerships and government incentives could help lower entry costs and expand access to these technologies, ensuring that a wider range of stakeholders benefit from the advancements envisioned in Society 5.0 (Kim & Shin, 2020).

2. Opportunities of Robots in Shaping Society 5.0

i. **Potential Benefits of Robotics:** The integration of robotics within the framework of Society 5.0 presents numerous opportunities for growth and development, particularly in enhancing the quality of life for individuals and communities. One of the most significant benefits is the potential to improve access to essential services, especially in healthcare. Robotic systems can facilitate personalized healthcare delivery, enabling remote monitoring and telemedicine services that reach underserved populations. For instance, robotic surgical systems, like the da Vinci Surgical System, perform complex procedures with high precision, minimizing recovery times and improving patient outcomes (Yang et al., 2018). Additionally, in elder care, robots are increasingly used to provide companionship and assistance with daily activities, promoting independence for senior citizens while alleviating some of the burden on caregivers (Broadbent et al., 2016).

Furthermore, robotics can significantly boost productivity across various sectors, from manufacturing to agriculture. Automated systems streamline production processes, reduce human error, and increase output, contributing positively to economic growth (Bogue, 2019). In agriculture, robotic technologies such as drones and autonomous tractors optimize resource use and enhance food production efficiency, which is crucial for addressing global food security challenges (Bechar & Vigneault, 2016). These advances in agriculture improve not only yield but also resource sustainability, a key element of Society 5.0. Lastly, the integration of robotics into smart cities can enhance public services, such as waste management, traffic control, and emergency response. Robotics in urban infrastructure enables cities to be more responsive and adaptive to resident's needs, ultimately creating safer and more sustainable environments (Chen et al., 2020). These advancements contribute to the goals of Society 5.0 by fostering resilience and adaptability and by supporting an environment where technology enables improved quality of life and societal well-being.

ii. **Technological Advancements:** Emerging technologies are set to address current limitations in robotic capabilities, thereby enhancing their effectiveness and integration into Society 5.0. Innovations in artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and sensor technologies lead the charge in robotic advancements. AI enables robots to learn from their environments and adapt to new tasks, which boosts their autonomy and utility in diverse applications, such as healthcare and logistics (Chen et al., 2020). Improved sensor technology allows robots to better perceive and interact with their surroundings, facilitating capabilities like autonomous navigation and real-time data collection for smart city applications (Kim et al., 2018).

Also, materials science advancements are making robotic systems more efficient and versatile. The use of lightweight and durable materials improves robot performance and reduces energy consumption, promoting sustainability. This development is critical for both mobile robots and those intended for extended use in challenging environments (Kim et al., 2018). The convergence of robotics with other technologies, such as the Internet of Things (IoT) and big data analytics, further enhances their capacities. By leveraging these technological advancements, robotics can more effectively address complex societal challenges, contributing to the vision of a sustainable and adaptive Society 5.0 (Müller et al., 2019).

3. Ethical and Regulatory Considerations

i. **Privacy and Data Security**: The integration of robotics into Society 5.0 raises significant ethical concerns related to privacy and data security, especially due to the extensive data collection required for these systems to function effectively. Many robotic applications depend on large amounts of personal data to optimize their performance, whether in healthcare, smart homes, or public safety. This situation raises important questions about how data is collected, stored, and utilized. The potential for unauthorized access to sensitive information, such as health records or personal habits, poses serious risks (Müller & Wollert, 2019). As robots increasingly become part of daily life, the ethical implications of monitoring and data collection practices come into sharper focus. Ensuring that individuals' privacy is respected while harnessing the benefits of robotics requires a delicate balance and necessitates robust ethical guidelines and technological safeguards.

Transparency in data usage is crucial for building public trust. Users need to be informed about what data is being collected, how it will be used, and the measures in place to protect their privacy. Lack of clear communication on these points can lead to public apprehension and resistance to adopting robotic technologies (Nissenbaum, 2010). Additionally, there is an urgent need for strict data protection regulations that can adapt to the changing landscape of robotics and artificial intelligence. Establishing clear legal frameworks that require ethical data handling practices is essential to prevent misuse and ensure that the integration of robotics does not compromise individual privacy rights.

ii. **Autonomous Decision-Making:** As robotics technology continues to advance, issues surrounding autonomous decision-making have become more significant. With the ability to make independent decisions, robots can perform various tasks, from navigating public spaces to administering medical treatment. However,

this autonomy raises important ethical questions about accountability and human oversight. When a robot makes a decision that results in negative consequences, who is held responsible? This uncertainty creates challenges for legal and ethical accountability, as existing frameworks may not adequately address the complexities introduced by autonomous systems (Lin, 2016). The potential for bias in algorithms further complicates these issues, as decisions made by robots could reflect underlying prejudices found in the data or the programming used to train them. The example of a "killer robot" serves as a stark reminder of these risks.Human oversight is essential to ensure that robotic systems operate within ethical boundaries. It will be necessary to establish guidelines that define the limits of autonomy in various applications to mitigate the risks associated with robotic decision-making (Binns, 2018). Additionally, integrating ethical reasoning into the programming of robots could help ensure that their decisions align with societal values. This approach might involve designing systems that prioritize human welfare and adhere to established ethical standards. The laws of robotics, as proposed by Isaac Asimov, must be critically examined. Ogbaga and Ezeanya (2022) emphasized the importance of developing transparent and accountable AI systems whose decisions reflect human values and priorities.

iii. **Legal and Policy Challenges**: The rapid development of robotics technologies has outpaced existing legal frameworks, leading to There are significant gaps in regulations and policies concerning the integration of robotics into society. Many current laws were not created to tackle the unique challenges that robotics presents, particularly in areas such as liability, safety, and privacy. For example, when an accident occurs involving a self-driving vehicle or a robotic surgical system, existing legal frameworks may struggle to establish liability (Gogoll & Müller, 2017). This uncertainty can stifle innovation and adoption, as companies and users may be hesitant to engage with technologies that lack clear legal guidance.

To address these gaps, policymakers need to collaborate with technologists, ethicists, and the public to create comprehensive regulations for the deployment and use of robotics. This may involve developing specific guidelines for different sectors, taking into account the unique challenges and ethical considerations associated with various robotic applications (Calo, 2016). Additionally, it is crucial to foster continuous dialogue among stakeholders to ensure that regulations remain relevant and responsive to the ever-changing technological landscape. By proactively tackling the legal and policy challenges related to robotics, society can better leverage the benefits of these technologies while protecting public interests and maintaining ethical standards.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

This study revealed that robotics significantly enhances human capabilities and addresses societal challenges. Key findings highlight its contributions to healthcare, urban development, and environmental sustainability. However, the integration of robotics faces several challenges, including technical barriers, societal acceptance issues, and ethical implications. This indicates the need for comprehensive regulatory frameworks. Future research should focus on evolving ethical standards, assessing long-term societal impacts, and exploring emerging technological trends to better understand the implications of robotics. Ultimately, the potential of robotics to shape a sustainable, inclusive, and technologically advanced Society 5.0 underscores the importance of fostering collaboration between technology and society for a better future.

7. CONTRIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE

To fully realize the potential benefits of robotics in Society 5.0, several strategic recommendations are necessary for successful integration. First and foremost, addressing the barriers to adoption is critical. This includes investing in infrastructure that supports robotic technologies, such as high-speed internet and advanced data management systems. Policymakers should also engage in public outreach to raise awareness of the benefits and applications of robotics, fostering a more informed and accepting society. Additionally, establishing ethical standards and regulatory frameworks is essential to guide the responsible development and deployment of robotics. Collaborative efforts among stakeholders—including government, industry, and academia—can help develop guidelines that prioritize safety, accountability, and privacy. Furthermore, incorporating ethics into the design and programming of robotic systems ensures that they align with societal values and enhance public trust.

Finally, promoting interdisciplinary research and education will be vital in preparing the workforce for the evolving landscape shaped by robotics. By equipping individuals with the necessary skills and knowledge, society can harness the full potential of robotics while mitigating the risks associated with automation. These strategies collectively aim to create a supportive environment that encourages innovation, embraces technological advancements, and ultimately enhances the quality of life within the framework of Society 5.0.

REFERENCES

1. Bechar, A., & Vigneault, C. (2016). "Agricultural robots for field operations: Concepts and components." *Biosystems Engineering*, 149, 94-111. DOI: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2016.06.014
2. Binns, R. (2018). Fairness in Machine Learning: Lessons from Political Philosophy. ***Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency***, 149-158. https://doi.org/10.1145/3287560.328759
3. Bogue, R. (2019). "The role of robotics in society: Key applications and developments." *Industrial Robot: An International Journal*, 46(1), 25-30. DOI: 10.1108/IR-05-2019-0090
4. Broadbent, E., Stafford, R., & MacDonald, B. (2016). "Acceptance of healthcare robots for the elderly: A multi-national survey." *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 8, 1-7. DOI: 10.1007/s12369-015-0286-y
5. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014**). *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies***. W. W. Norton & Company
6. Calo, R. (2016). Artificial Intelligence Policy: A Primer and Roadmap. ***U.C. Davis Law Review***, 51(2), 399-435. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2811447
7. Chen, Z., Huang, W., & Deng, J. (2020). "Smart city and intelligent urban infrastructure enabled by AI and robotics." *Journal of Urban Technology*, 27(2), 45-61. DOI: 10.1080/10630732.2020.1718618
8. Deguchi, A., Hirano, T., Matsuoka, H., & Nakano, R. (2020). ***What is Society 5.0****?* Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-2989-4
9. Ford, M. (2015). *Rise of the Robots: Technology and the Threat of a Jobless Future.* Basic Books.
10. Fukuyama, M. (2018). Society 5.0: Aiming for a New Human-Centered Society. ***Japan Spotlight***, 47-50.
11. Gogoll, J., & Müller, J. F. (2017). Autonomes Fahren – Rechtliche Rahmenbedingungen und gesellschaftliche Herausforderungen. ***ZfR – Zeitschrift für Rechtspolitik***, 12(1), 22-28. https://doi.org/10.1515/zfr-2017-0004
12. Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. (2018). "Artificial Intelligence in Service." *Journal of Service Research*, 21(2), 155-172. DOI: 10.1177/1094670517752459
13. Kim, J., & Shin, D. (2020). "The role of government in fostering sustainable and inclusive robotic technology adoption." *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 153, 119912. DOI: 10.1016/j.techfore.2020.119912
14. Kumar, D., & Liu, X. (2022). "Bridging the Urban-Rural Divide in Robotics Access and Infrastructure." *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 38(2), 456-469. DOI: 10.1109/TRO.2022.3004569

15. Kim, H., Song, K., & Lee, H. (2018). "Materials innovations for advanced robotics: A review of the state of the art and future outlook." *Advanced Materials*, 30(35), 1800274. DOI: 10.1002/adma.201800274
16. Lin, P. (2016). Why ethics matters in robotics. In ***Robot Ethics: The Ethical and Social Implications of Robotics*** (pp. 5-12). MIT Press
17. Müller, J., Hund, R., & Wollert, J. (2019). "Robotics and IoT: Enabling technologies for new business models in the production industry." *Procedia Manufacturing*, 39, 4-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.promfg.2019.12.002
18. Nakanishi, S., Kimura, Y., & Nakano, H. (2022). Robotics and Urban Development in Society 5.0. *Urban Robotics Studies*, 5(1), 100-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urbstud.2022.06.004
19. Nissenbaum, H. (2010). Privacy in Context: Technology, Policy, and the Integrity of Social Life**. *Stanford University Press***.
20. Ogbaga I. N and Ezeanya C. U (2023). Contextualizing Climate Change Solutions Through Deployment of Cooperative Artificial Intelligence (AI) Agents.in the proceedings of the 3RD Annual Conference and General Meeting Society for Climate Action in Nigeria (SCAN) at Emerald Energy Institute, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria 20th – 23rd June 2023
21. Riazi, M., et al. (2021). "Scaling Robotic Systems for Large-Scale Industrial Applications." *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 68(3), 1982-1991. DOI: 10.1109/TIE.2021.3012039
22. Sparrow, R., & Howard, M. (2021). *The Ethics of Robotics and AI: Examining Economic and Social Impacts*. MIT Press
23. West, D. M. (2018). *The Future of Work: Robots, AI, and Automation*. Brookings Institution Press.
24. Yang, G. Z., Cambias, J., Cleary, K., Daimler, E., Drake, J., & Murphy, R. (2018). "Medical robotics—Regulatory, ethical, and legal considerations for increasing levels of autonomy." *Science Robotics*, 3(21). DOI: 10.1126/scirobotics. aat1430